

A Conspiracy to Selectively Withhold Science Information

ISBN 978-1-920430-54-2

Written by P.S.J. (Peet) Schutte. ©KOSMOLOGIESE EN ASTRONOMIESE TEGNIKA

All rights are reserved. Publishing, or alternatively reproducing electronically or using any other means of duplicating without the consent of the copyright owner is strictly prohibited. No parts of this book may be reproduced in any way that is possible.

For more about the conspiracy also visit

www.questionablescience.net or <http://www.singularityrelavancy.com/>
and www.sirnewtonsfraud.com

I wish to tell the truth about science, but what is the truth about science?

Are you ready for the truth? Better still...

Those making a living from science, can you stomach the truth?

Can you face the truth without firstly knowing what you will be presented with?

The truth is going to have what you believe is the truth come tumbling down on you.

So, before you say yes that quickly, ask yourself if you have the perception or the insight or

moreover the understanding to relate to what the truth might hold.

It is quick to agree to the request but I have yet to find one professor in physics that will respond

to the truth! Should you face up to the truth you are stronger than any one of almost two

thousand physicists in academic posts I have contacted over twelve years.

Moreover what will you do when you know the truth or are you satisfied to be told what to believe is the truth without ever investigating by personal standards as to finding the truth about science.

I managed my discoveries because I DISCOVERED A MISTAKE IN SCIENCE.

Then as a result of finding the mistake I DISCOVERED THE TRUTH ABOUT SCIENCE

To find what is truth read on and confront the truth, as you never had ever before.

You will find I never compromise truth for friendship and in that light I say what they needed to hear and not what is wanted to please who ever should feel pleased. Meet the
Newtonian physicist.



In this book I try to introduce the reader to the brilliant Newtonian conspirator that has been dragging all of intelligent man by the nose for three centuries on a string. The more the conspirator pretends to be an intellectual physicist the better a fool those conspirators become.

He looks sheepish because he acts sheepish because as he follows he never questions what he believes and brainwash students to do the same.

Read how clever the physicists are in hiding their stupidity from students and the public alike. By enlisting thought control those teaching physics force students to believe in science and to believe science as being the only correct mindset there are. This idea of science being the ultimate in correctness is totally ridiculous.

There are those brainwashed to the point that believe physicist are above reprimand and should be treated as if they are God by never criticizing their actions. I wish to provide one such example and you can determine what halfwits and brainwashed non-thinkers fills the faculty of physics. To them everything is correct as long as it is part of physics because although they cannot think, they "can understand physics." That they have no idea about what they understand is apparent to everybody with a brain but still they wish to show the world they "understand physics" just because they believe they are so superior that "they understand Newton"

One such an example I can show is a person going by some name such as Symeof.

Symeof of no known e-mail address dislikes this book. According to him this is why ...He says

Pathetic material. The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove (by the way, you need to have studied a subject before you can criticize it, which the author didn't). In my opinion, the author is so narrow-minded that when he came to scientists to talk about his material, the scientists must have told him that it was nonsensical. Then, of course, he couldn't imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material.

Please study Symeof's criticism. If you are not prepared for the shock awaiting you about the conspiracy in science, you should not continue. It will be as big a shock to you as it apparently is to Symeof. You will as he did realise that so many years of study has gone wasted because what you learned was one big hoax, covered by the mother conspiracy in science and as a result of this awakening you will feel to lash out but don't try to kill the messenger. There is the mother conspiracy, which I expose. If you are unprepared for the shock then reading this book will be more harmful than never knowing the truth. To find all your professional knowledge came to nothing must be unbearable and insufferable. The mother conspiracy is in place but you decide if you wish to know about it or not, the choice are yours to make.

What you are going to read is the mother of all the conspiracies in science, which is about how science applies mind control by processing thought control. Every conspiracy ever linked to science is in place to protect that conspiracy from becoming known. I prove that there is a mother conspiracy in place. The mother conspiracy is in place whereby students are brainwashed through the instigation of mind control through enforcing the acceptance of dogma on students. I also introduce a new cosmic vision with the entirety called the Universe, which is formed by singularly taking on every shape, and space that we know. Singularity is the point where the Universe first started according to Einstein.

Those making such remarks as Symeof does must remember that persons on a higher level of education can immediately gauge the level of your education development when it is so inferior. This person might seem highly educated in his own eyes but it is clear he is on a very low level of understanding physics. This person clearly never heard of the cosmic laws named **1) the Lagrangian system**, **2) the Roche limit** **3) the Titius Bode law** **4) the Coanda affect**, which I explain by delivering mathematical proof as to how they fit into the overall picture of gravity and which I mention and explain in much detail.

Reading this remark it is evident that Symeof never came as far as the explaining of the four cosmic laws or such explaining as I give went past this reader without him noticing the explaining as it was too far advanced and much above his level of understanding. That indicates that the person never understood the explaining of the laws and therefore has a very small insight and a low level of understanding physics. It would be much wiser to shut up and get wise than to advertise your uneducated stupidity to the world as you did. When you say "*The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove*" with that remark you are trying to dispute what is apparently very much above your limited understanding of physics and that which I prove you did not even come to read. It is a pity but then again we can't be all intellectual.

When I refer to brainwashing students into believing this is the exact example I refer to. I could not have asked for a better example even if I ordered it myself. This is the typical learn by repeat and never question those teaching you because questions will uncover the mother conspiracy and that the teachers avoid. The mother conspiracy is in place to teach about what is not present in the cosmos like telling that things such as mass is positioning planets while never mention what forms the true basis of cosmology which is **the Lagrangian system**, **2) the Roche limit** **3) the Titius Bode law** **4) the Coanda affect**. Those that are on a low education level such as Symeof so clearly is, would never have heard of these laws. I explain for the first time in the history of man how these laws apply and how to read gravity from their applications. However he never came to know such information is in place and thus informing him and those such as he is almost impossible. When explaining these laws I have to discredit what Newtonian science uses because what they use has no validation or credit, as I will show very soon.

This is how the interpretation of physics would apply if Newton was correct and mass did position planets. I am, going to show everyone with even a childlike understanding of reality that there is not even a remote chance that the positioning of the planets go in accordance with mass or $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G (M + m)$. This is the formula Newton introduced and it is the formula that all those studying physics still are taught to accept as the valid formula. Do you realise there is much more "gravity produced by mass" in the space your feet

has contact with the earth than there could ever be between Jupiter and the sun when using this formula


$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$? But this is part of what Symeof never can understand. It shows that those that study physics never tested what they were told apply in cosmic physics. They never put the correct mass as

applying numbers into $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ and put in place the radius between the sun and whatever planet

and come to a mathematical conclusion. Any person trying to put mathematical values in this equation that is supposed to be in place to show how solar bodies are positioned will realise it has no value. You

that can calculate it $\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2 \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4(1 - \epsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4(1 - \epsilon^2)}{a(1 - \epsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$ so then do it. Show

that $\frac{a^3}{GM^2}$ is valid. Please show how mass by $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ can produce planet positions. It is hogwash. Look at the picture and try to put mass into perspective in relation to planet positions!



By depicting the solar system in such a presentation as Newtonians normally do such as the picture next this form of presenting the layout without providing correct spacing purposely corrupts the entire structure formation by which the solar system develops. It then purposely hides the essence that forms the solar system.

This is so typical Newtonian in every sense there is in science. Can anybody, even those with the mentality of Symeof and his gang see that the planets are not arranged from the biggest that is most massive and then therefore should be closest to the sun and smallest way to the outside as they should if plants orbits P^2 was the result of size or mass $G(M + m)$ and mass has no place in the layout?

Newtonians make a statement about "mass" holding the solar system in place. No matter how much this is corrupt, nevertheless they put it down as a given fact so much so that they will show doubt in a living God being present but that mass pulls planets goes beyond doubt. The proof of mass pulling to form gravity can never be tested because it is beyond doubt. If you doubt in it they throw a Newtonian made formula they call Kepler's laws at you. "Kepler's third law" supposedly is "the square of the orbital period of a planet is directly proportional to the cube of the semi-major axis of its orbit." In mathematics it is Symbolically: $P^2 \propto a^3$ and therefore $a^3 = P^2$ in position of P and therefore $a^3 / (P^2 P)$. This is taken from the idea that "Kepler said", which is totally fabricated that $a^3 = T^2$ where Kepler said in fact $a^3 = T^2 k$ and this is a big difference because $a^3 = T^2 k$ is the same as $E = mc^2$. Look at reality. $a^3 = P^2$ is total garbage and as big a hoax as is the idea of mass being any form of factor in gravitational physics or that gravity applies in

accordance with $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$. Look at the picture below. Look at how the planets are sorted and that


is not by size. There is a ratio applying called the Titius Bode law and this law puts planets in terms of size or mass at a precise equal base notwithstanding that Jupiter is many time bigger than Mercury. Everyone is so taken by the accuracy of Einstein's formula that $E = mc^2$ but this is exactly Kepler's formula where $E^3 = mc^2$ is taken from Kepler's formula when accurately used as $a^3 = T^2 k$. There is no $a^3 = P^2$.

This is so typical Newtonian in every sense there is in science. The Newtonians gave the Titius Bode law a formula and that explains the lot. To they're under achieving standards that is very satisfactory. Now it is written in mathematics then what more do we need to know. The fact that the distance that Mercury has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has from the sun is completely ignored. In cosmic reality mass plays no part. Then again the distance that Venus has from the sun is doubled by that which the earth has. This clearly has nothing to do with the size or mass of the planets. Explaining that part is completely ignored. Then again the distance that the earth has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has and inexplicably this forms the layout of all planets in the solar system. Where do Newton and his idea of mass fit into what truly applies in outer space. Moreover, why does science never mention this? This is my formulated explanation about how the Titius Bode law forms.


If you think my accusations are baseless or the ravings of a madman then go on and download what you have opened and read for yourself. What you download is free and I do not benefit financially from this explanation I present to you. There is no such a thing as mass anywhere in the cosmos. If there were a factor such as mass every planet would orbit distinctly positioned according to mass, but they don't. Should you think of the size of a body containing more or containing less material and put that in terms of mass that forms gravity then the orbital layout of the Universe or solar system would very distinctly NOT be the way it is. The cosmos shows no mass as a factor and we can either regard the cosmos as correct or Newtonian science as correct as Newtonian science diverts totally from the physics that the cosmos displays. The choice to make is do we believe science or do we believe the cosmos you choose?

Show that the square of the mass in relation to the gravitational common factor puts planets in their allocated positions! Put the orbit of Jupiter in relation to the mass of Jupiter and in relation to the position Jupiter holds. Forget getting swept away by the fancy Mathematics; just get to the task of putting the mass of any of the planets in relation or ratio of that particular planet has and then in connection with the position that any of the planets hold. Don't come up with the argument that science works and therefore Newton works. Please then show as he put it: The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove (by the way, you need to have studied a subject before you can criticize it, which the author didn't). In my opinion, the author is so narrow-minded that when he came to scientists to talk about his material, the scientists must have told him that it was nonsensical. That is a lame excuse to hide incompetence. If you are unable to do it your physics is a giant fraud, which is based on a century old lie and a hoax. It then shows your small-mindedness because you have never put physics as it is taught to the test. This is taken from the idea that Newton had when Newton changed Kepler's formula from $a^3 = (T^2k)$ to $a^3 = T^2$ because without any legal mathematical backing Newton said the third dimension is equal to anything holding a second dimension or a cube a^3 is equal to a square T^2 .

Mathematical reality is that any person in the third dimension a^3 having three sides can climb into a mirror T^2 being absolutely flat and then climb back to the third dimension because $a^3 = T^2$. This is the garbage those slow witted person's such as Symeof failed to see when he was taught that $a^3 = T^2$ because Newton said so.



What this liquid. Please space is in




have the

motion of the circle demolish the work that the circle does.

All of the above is way past the level of understanding physics of a person such as Symeof and in his critics as he showed how little he understands of what should be understood about physics.

Reading this mathematically encrypted coded formula of the cosmos given to Kepler and keeping it removed from Newton it reads as being that the equal to = the motion T^2 of the space a^3 in ratio k to a centre k^0 , which is relevant to the positioning of k . If we bring in the full equation it will be $k^0 = a^3 + (T^2k)$ which means half of space is solid $k = a^3 \div T^2$ and half of space is liquid $k^{-1} = T^2 \div a^3$ where liquid is moving. However, it is also true that everything through movement defines a value in relation to one point holding singularity k^0 and that is what the formula $k^0 = a^3 + (T^2k)$ underwrites. proves is that gravity is the motion of space provided by time being the allow me to explain. In the formula $a^3 = T^2 k$ the space forms as the motion. Newton suggested that $\frac{dJ}{dt} = 0$ where he stopped time to




space a^3 is

That Symeof says that the author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: Please address the following facts.

When a body touches or contacts the earth there is a factor such as weight but when you call that weight by the name of mass it is deceptive. Then again to call weight by any other name is equal to commit deception or to deceive the public. Notwithstanding the number of atoms that form any cosmic body, the mass or body volume is all the same because all planets orbit at the same rate.

The table that Kepler provided shows the time that the orbit of every planet takes according to the distance the planet travels in the same time lapse and considering all the planets it is very much the same thing and in that there is no provision in the table for any idea that might form mass. This ratio is the indication of speed travelled. The idea that mass exists is a Newtonian invention made up by Newton and is completely groundless except for the value that Newtonian science gives it in order to maintain the



Look at the size differences all the planets have and see the column showing the speed of orbit of the planets. Use this to show where does mass enter the picture?

Mercury	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.983$
Venus	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.992$
Earth	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$
Mars	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$
Jupiter	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$
Saturn	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$
Uranus	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$
Neptune	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$
Pluto	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.004$

the values in the column. That is cheating the to corruption to say the least. Newtonians

Newtonian principles. The entire idea of mass is a myth. The idea that mass pulls mass is complete mythology and is as baseless as any fairy story. But even more deceiving is that notwithstanding that every planet has a specifically calculated mathematical value when $T^2 \div a^3 = 0.983$ which is $T^2 \div a^3 = k^{-1}$ Newtonian science completely ignores the values and declares that $T^2 = a^3$ whereby they ignore truth into submission fabricate their truth.

There is no factor such as mass in the Universe. Kepler proved with $T^2 \div a^3 = k^{-1}$ that space is reclining and matter is moving within the reclining space going towards the sun. The sun is not pulling but concentrating space into a denser unit such as we can see when looking at the sun. There is no evidence of a factor such as mass that holds any validity throughout the Universe. There is no proof that the Universe indicates the presence of gravity by the measure of mass forming a pulling power and while science conducts an entire religiosity based on this falsified belief, any such notion is falsified truth. Using science based on the idea that there is a pulling force such as mass forming gravity is as valid as giving Snow White seven dwarfs and then beginning a religion on that basis. There is a factor such as weight but there is no pulling of anything towards anything by magical forces forming gravity or whatever.

There is a conspiracy of conducting fraud by claiming non- existing forces but such claims are utterly fraudulent. I have been trying for twelve years to introduce the true forming of gravity but all Physicists I have encountered prevented me of doing so. They stop me because my work makes Newtonian science and when removing the notion that a pulling force of gravity works by the value of mass, most of their work becomes science fiction that falls apart in substance. Read this and see how **students in physics** are methodically **brainwashed** to get the students to believe in the absolute accuracy of science. Professors and teachers participate knowingly or unknowingly in this thought manipulation process by means of conducting **mind control**. By applying this **mind-altering process** those teaching physics ensure they subdue students into becoming mind-altered zombies.

It is a process going on for centuries and which without science would have no foot to stand on in the modern environment. By presenting incorrect, falsified or unproven facts and other untruths as proven truth they exert **thought control** and thereby change the student's ability to appreciate what is correct and believable logic and then force students to discard such judgement ability in favour of accepting the institutionalised untested norms and values of science in order to unequivocally believe in science. The accepted teaching methods force students to comply by compromising their better judgment and then

systematically to capitulate under teacher pressure by making their own what science prescribes what should be believed. I prove this and you get this for free so what have you got to lose...**but you can get wise to what forms a better understanding about science!** By using the building blocks that forms the Universe I take you back to the instant the Universe started and I show you how the Universe fits like a jigsaw puzzle.

This astronaut has “mass” and the earth also in the picture has “mass” and the pulling should be going on since there is no restraining that would prevent the man from falling to the earth so why is Newton’s “mass” not applying? This astronaut is circling the earth at a certain speed notwithstanding “whatever mass” science contributes to the pilot. If “mass” was pulling then why is the man not falling. The man will fall depending on the speed by which the man rotates the earth. His spacecraft (not in the picture) has about a hundred times more mass that should entice about a hundred times more pulling but both float above the earth at a specific pre-calculated speed. It is the speed that determines the distance of circling and not “mass”.



$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

If the astronaut were on earth his mass would be the same as his weight. Therefore on earth there is no distinction between the mass factor on earth and the weight factor on earth. It is clear that things change when this astronaut walked on the moon. If the astronaut were walking on the moon his weight would not be equal to his mass.

The astronaut would weigh less than the mass he has on earth. It is said that the gravity changes the numbers. The moon has less mass and that gives the man less weight while the mass remains the same. Say the man weighed 90 kg on earth he would have mass equal to 90kg.

When the same man walks on the moon he would weigh 30 kg while having a mass of 90 kg being on the moon. On the moon the machine may have the same weight as the man on earth but the mass of the machine stay what it was on earth and so does the mass of the man. That becomes science in fictional perspective. If the man could walk on the sun his weight would become a thousand times more but the mass of the man remains 90 kg. If I believe that bit then somewhere I am fooled just because I am that stupid and I deserve to be fooled that easy. Then when the astronaut is in space he still has a mass of 90 kg but when walking in air the man has no weight with a mass of 90 kg. The indication is that while the astronaut still has mass and so the earth does he circles around the earth by gravity. Gravity is circle and not a pulling by force. Gravity comes about in terms of density applying through motion exerted on any object. It is movement that determines the location of an objects and the movement forms gravity. How stupid must any person be not to see and therefore realise this as a fact.

If you as reader feel I have no right to dispute physics then it is not I that dispute physics but it is physics that is in dispute with reality. Those “professionals Physicist” that are so superior educated as Symeof is, please use the formula in the formula $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ on which all Newtonian physics rests and prove that all planets use “mass” to position their allocations. Then try to use $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ to explain the Titius Bode law because the Titius Bode law is in place while “mass” positioning the planets are a hoax. What this formula says is that the mass of the sun and the specific mass of the planet would form the allocated position in which that specific planet is. I explain again: that the mass of the sun and the specific mass of the planet $G(M + m)$ would form the allocated position P^2 in which that specific planet is. Those so professionals explain why Jupiter is where it is by putting in the mass of Jupiter and the mass of the sun and then prove that Mercury or Venus or the earth or whatever planet is in its specific location by putting in the distance $4\pi^2$ that is according to the mass a^3 because Newtonians say that $4\pi^2 a^3$ is equal to $P^2 G(M + m)$

Bode's Law:

Planet	Mercury	Venus	Earth	Mars	Ceres	Jupiter	Saturn	Uranus	Neptune	Pluto
Bode's Law distance	4	7	10	16	28	52	100	196	-	388
Actual distance	3.9	7.2	10	15.2	28	52	95.4	191.8	300.7	394.6

The Titius Bode's law is a numerical sequence announced by J.E. Bode in 1772, which matches the distances from the Sun of the six planets then known. It is also known as the Titus-Bode law, as it was first pointed out by the German mathematician Johann Daniel Titius (1729-96) in 1766. It is formed from the sequence 0,3,6,12,24,48,96, and 192 by adding 4 to each number. The planets were seen to fit this sequence quite well – as did Uranus, discovered in 1781. However, Neptune and Pluto do not conform to the 'law'. Bode's Law stimulated the search for a planet orbiting between Mars and Jupiter that led to the discovery of the first asteroids. It is often said that the law has no theoretical basis, but it does show how orbital resonance can lead to commensurability.

The importance that becomes known is the sequence the Titius – Bode law saw in the number arrangement of 3; 6; 12; 24; 48; 96 etc. The incorrect application of the Titus Bode law lies in subtracting the figure of 3 from 10 leaving 7. The other way of reasoning is to add four each time to the first value of three starting with 3 and so on. The true significance of the Titus-Bode law is that it points directly to a circular growth of 7 stages.

The 7 relating to 10 is a precise derogative of the Roche limit or the Roche limit is a precise derogative of the Titius Bode principle because he two systems interlink. This is how I manage to explain the Titius Bode law that is in the solar system by the ratio applying that really form the solar system in the way nature shows space growing by time. What you see on the next page was never been shown but on the other hand Physicist say this mathematics are too simple to apply as physics!

To find the mean distances of the planets, beginning with the following simple sequence of numbers:
0 3 6 12 24 48 96 192 384

With the exception of the first two, the others are simple twice the value of the preceding number.

Add 4 to each number:
4 7 10 16 28 52 100 196 388

Then divide by 10:
0.4 0.7 1.0 1.6 2.8 5.2 10.0 19.6 38.8


The resulting sequence is very close to the distribution of mean distances of the planets from the Sun:

Body	Actual distance (A.U.)	Bode's Law <A.U.>
Mercury	0.39	0.4
Venus	0.72	0.7
Earth	1.00	1.0
Mars	1.52	1.6 2.8
Jupiter	5.20	5.2
Saturn	9.54	10.0
Uranus	19.19	19.6

The Titius Bode law proves that in the Universe laws apply that positions objects in terms of other rules that mass. That means the Newtonians hides their lack of understanding behind mass that they invent. The Newtonians gave the Titius Bode law a formula and that explains the lot. To they're under achieving standards that is very satisfactory. Now it is written in mathematics then what more do we need to know. The fact that the distance that Mercury has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has from the sun

is completely ignored. In cosmic reality mass plays no part. Then again the distance that Venus has from the sun is doubled by that which the earth has. This clearly has nothing to do with the size or mass of the planets. Explaining that part is completely ignored. Then again the distance that the earth has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has and inexplicably this forms the layout of all planets in the solar system. Where do Newton and his idea of mass fit into what truly applies in outer space. Moreover, why does science never mention this? This is my formulated explanation about how the Titius Bode law forms. The numbers we need to find the key to the mystery of the Titius Bode law is 3, 4, 7, and 10.


This is what is in the solar system applying the serving ratio that the Universe uses. It is not the fake Newtonian $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G (M + m)$ that has no basis except for Newtonians brainwashing students into believing that otherwise can't be accommodated by the Universe. These are ratio values that are there...used by the Universe as actual factors forming space. Use this picture below to show me where the planets are positioned according to mass or where the orbit going around the sun goes according to mass. The entire Newtonian idea of mass creating gravity by pulling is the complete misrepresentation of the truth. I show what principles are in place do give the reason why. It is easy to talk about "mass" and never get "mass" part of reality when hiding the truth.




By depicting the solar system in such a presentation as Newtonians normally do such as the picture next this form of presenting the layout without providing correct spacing purposely corrupts the entire structure formation by which the solar system develops. It then purposely hides the essence that forms the solar system.

In the Universe all things are equal in size because Neptune spins around the sun equal to mercury's time and Jupiter floats around the sun equal to mars or Neptune. Notwithstanding what "size" or "mass" they grant the planet to have the rotation happens equal and without mass bringing any favouring in positioning or in speed of movement. So where the hell is mass a factor in gravity forming?

Ask a physicist to explain the fact that if mass pulls mass by gravity pulling, then why do the planets in the solar system not position their allocated places in relation to the sun by applying mass as the nominating and defining factor? If Symeof and all those others that stand in line to correct me are so certain of his and their position why don't he correct me by showing how mass does put the planets in the order that they are. This is so typical of the brainwashed zombie that learned science where instead I studied science and to him and those there is a world of difference between the two concepts. Those brainwashed into learning science never tested on concept that they were told to learn. The following picture on the next page shows the applying size and size ratio and the allocated places they have. Are those defending physics really that blind that they are unable to see what even small children see or are they so dumbfounded that they will rather attack me to defend a senseless conception that can't be defended by logic or intellect. To those physicist brainwashed into stupidity this is not very serious. They truly can't see what the fuss is about as you can see with the reaction of the ever-so-wise Symeof and his gang of wise that so fiercely protect the honour and legitimacy of physics as a whole that is in a hole of deception. To them the fact that there is no evidence that mass is responsible for planet positioning is not a big issue although that forms the fundamental basis of their cosmological concept they put forward as the truth and the only truth. It is said that science only works with truth so how much truth is there in this concept?





This shows a ration used by the cosmos and not by science to position planets according to this ratio that works independent of mass or size. That is why Mercury as the smallest planet are inside next to the sun and Jupiter being the largest is in the centre. If mass did apply then Jupiter should be inside and Mercury at the very end. Where Symeof of no known e-mail address holds the opinion that I as the author has no right to dispute physics I wish to ask him to explain why mass then holds planets in place as the Newton formula says and as used by the honourable in science since they are the ones that declares mass applies as $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$. Symeof have you or any forming your league ever seen any explaining about

the **Lagrangian system** 2) the **Roche limit** 3) the **Titius Bode law** 4) the **Coanda affect** or know that these laws exist? You say I prove nothing and yet you understand nothing about what I prove because you have such a little understanding. Do you realise the fools you appear in the eyes of the more intelligent amongst the many in society? In your case I can't figure out which is more, your stupidity, your arrogance or you pathetic self worth through which you believe in your absolute intelligence. To Symeof and all the others such as he is my advise to you lot is to go and ask your money back from the institution that you thought educated you as you should clearly see you have not been educated but you have been conned and tricked. Moreover, read on and see the deception you have undergone by those you trust in such high regard.

Why do I say there is a conspiracy? This is why I say there is a conspiracy in science. Science says the planets are in location because of the mass they have and this opinion stems from Newton. However look at the picture I provide on the next page and see how the mass applies. Then tell me you still think that nature puts the planets according to mass with the larger planets in the centre and the smallest on either end of the solar system. Is there any body that still say I am not aloud to criticize science with this blatant misrepresentation of the truth? Is there still those that think like Symeof and say I have no right to criticize the most honourable persons in science for misrepresenting the truth.

This is but one of so many cases I bring to your mind, and yet the most clever say "Then, of course, he couldn't imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material.. Tell

me which part of this have I got wrong and about which part of this am I misrepresenting what science say incorrectly? Is the wrong part that I thought about what I was taught and the rest of you lot did not?

The cosmos however, does not apply mass in any form and the cosmos has four other principles in place. What Newton show that should place planets according to mass is not used by nature. It is the most incorrect idea ever put forward as the truth. I show what nature uses namely the Titius Bode law, The Roche limit, The Lagrangian Points and the Coanda effect and how this forms gravity as well as place the positions of the planets in accordance with singularity. Because I trash Newton's rubbish that does not fit and that can't apply no publisher of science books or science magazines will publish my work I show what goes on in nature while Newton's contribution of mass applying is total rubbish. Because I call it rubbish and I rubbish Newton I am ignored. How can any person believe mass forms gravity that puts planets in position in accordance with mass when viewing planets, their Universe?

This picture shows the hoax the Newtonian conspiracy pampers to keep the rest of Newtonian physics believable. They never mention the Titius Bode law and try to explain the Titius Bode law while it is the Titius Bode law that is really in place in the solar system. *If you wish to learn the truth then think again.*

With all this evidence in place for centuries and nom evidence of mass pulling in the Universe science still uphold $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ because in the known field of physics they have nothing else to show for centuries of labour. They have to be content with Newton because they only have Newton and while Newton never made sense it was all there was to cling to...

Newtonians uphold their version of the law of physics they advocate as the truth applying without showing mercy to anybody that dares to challenge Newton. The very first things the Newtonians use to beat us into submission are to blast us with incomprehensible mathematical formulas.

If only the equations they say applies were truthful...Incomprehensible they are not truthful but it still those Newtonian philosophies are used to scare anyone with the idea that the mathematical equations will get everyone hiding as it has done for hundreds of years. They bewilder students and the public alike with equations that put the fear of God into you; it used simply to make you feel inferior so that they can feel superior and frown down on your inferiority from a dizzy height. They say the positioning of planets

are according to this formula
$$\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2 \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4(1 - \epsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4(1 - \epsilon^2)}{a(1 - \epsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$$

This is pathetic, not my book you are reading. I challenge any person on earth to put in the mass of Jupiter and then from that show how it is arriving the position any of the planets hold or how by mass is any planet's allocation or position is derived. Or do it with any other planet. This is one big hoax that is a small part of the conspiracy science tries to hide. To make sense we have to look for Π in this because Π forms the value of gravity because of the circle nature that we find in cosmic space. We have 3 and 4 adding to be 7. Then we have 10 forming the other factor number. If we wish to stop pretending to make science a hoax we must come to some realistic conclusion that will prove what is working in the

The cosmos works on a distinct ratio put in place by a distinct pattern. This is the ratio.

To find the mean distances of the planets, beginning with the following simple sequence of numbers:

0 3 6 12 24 48 96 192 384

With the exception of the first two, the others are simple twice the value of the preceding number.

Add 4 to each number:

4 7 10 16 28 52 100 196 388

Then divide by 10:

0.4 0.7 1.0 1.6 2.8 5.2 10.0 19.6 38.8

The resulting sequence is very close to the distribution of mean distances of the planets from the Sun:

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

