



United States of North
America: an e-Direct
Democracy

By Ben Caesar

United States of North America may refer to: A term used before 11 July 1778 to refer to the United States of America.

- **1965**, Samuel Bemis, *A diplomatic history of the United States*, 5 edition, page 43 (note):

The name United States of America first appears in the Declaration of Independence. The Franco-American treaties of 1778 use the phrase **United States of North America**, occasionally employed thereafter in official pronouncements; but Congress resolved July 11, 1778, in favor of the name United States of America, to be used on its bills of exchange, and it has been used since as the official name.

Though the phrase was more commonly used by writers of the British Empire, it continued in use in the United States until the 20th century, especially in the context of diplomatic missions to Latin America.

No nation exists forever. Things change. Borders change. People get diversified. Religions evolve. New races emerge out of interracial intergasms. USA will not live on forever. God will not bless USA forever. USA has existed — in various forms — since 1776 AD. Its borders will change. Either you evolve or devolve. USA has to evolve — in order to survive and become better. Time for USNA, "United States of North America" — an e-Direct Democracy that is much better than the current bipartisan — corrupted and pointless — representative democracy

The political environment in present day America is bleak. It is pointless. Corrupt rich elitist politicians rule this country. We need a change. We need the power to go back to the people instead of the ruling monopolist oligarchic pseudo-fascist politicians — who are themselves owned and controlled by the hidden powers that actually control the world.

Instead of not doing anything about it, we the people need to force a change in the system. We need an American revolution that will change America, and then the world. We need e-direct democracy. This is an age of twitter, instagram, facebook, snapchat, and other apps. We can simply develop an app through which people can register in to vote ONLINE — without any possibility of election rigging or hacking by the Russians — and the people get to decide the policies, amendments to the constitutions, and everything else that the corrupt politicians get bribed to modify to whoever pays them to do their bidding.

How about an APP named "E-Vote"?

You would vote for a particular person from the public — who is willing to do it — to represent the people who voted for them? And their job is only to work as servants of the public. The public decides the policies. The public is the judge, jury and the executioner. The public decides who the next judge is. The public decides whether a person is guilty or not. Everything is online. Everyone is involved. Every citizen of United States of North America has access to the internet and all the facilities that are basic human needs. No more homeless people. No need for jobs. Most of the work is done by the machines.

Everyone gets to have basic welfare and healthcare. If they want to get rich, sure go ahead!

But every citizen is equal in the eyes of the law. Every citizen has basic welfare income of 50,000 USNA dollars or more.

It would be technocratic. Those qualified for the job would be allowed to be put in the list of eligible candidates, and people would vote them in or out. There would be an e-Senate. There would be an e-Congress. There would be e-High Courts. e-Supreme Courts.

There would be no power structure. Switzerland has a form of direct democracy. But it is not electronic yet.

No more Bill Clinton. No more Obama. No more George Bush. No more Hillary Clinton. No more Donald Trump. No more Chelsea Clinton or Ivanka Trump. Yes folks, Ivanka and Chelsea will compete each other for the Presidency in the near future. I don't like a future in which dynasties rule the world. There shouldn't be a British monarchy. There shouldn't be arabic kingdoms. If we believe in true democracy, then e-direct democracy is the true democracy of the future.

This would be a world in which Hyper-Capitalism and Hyper-Socialism co-exist and form a bond. It will be complex. It will be glorious. People will watch technocrats on live feeds, and they get to decide who is capable and who isn't, and they get to vote the incompetent people out!

Politics will be an E-Reality TV/Internet; the ordinary people will be more involved than ever.

Every citizen will have basic private property that he/she/it can increase the numbers of.

Mexico

The borders will remain, but heavy investment will happen in Mexico, modernizing the country for the next 100 years. If Mexico becomes as good as USA, then Mexican territories will be incorporated and Mexican States will be the new states of United States of North America.

Canada

The border will remain, and the hyper-liberals can move up and down from USA to Canada and back. Single Passport. Those who are conservative can move down from Canada to USA and live in the 'red states'.

I think we all know that borders are man-made. I imagine a future in which there is a One World Government, and the rich elites aren't controlling the resources of this beautiful planet. Every human being will be controlling it!

We will then look forward to exploring Space and settling in other planets of the nearest habitable galaxies. The future is bright. Do not get bogged down by the few elites who want to rule everything and ruin the fun for the rest of us.


The Currency



The hypothetical currency for the union is most often referred to as the **amero**. The concept is modeled on the common European Union currency (the euro).

NAFTA

Members



USA


+

Canada 1988

+

Mexico 1994

NAFTA



Top stories



U.S. governors are wooed on NAFTA — by Canada and Mexico

Politico · 2 days ago



5 things to watch as U.S. reveals its NAFTA objectives

CBC.ca · 1 day ago



Trump to reveal desired changes for NAFTA renegotiation

CTV News · 1 day ago

→ [More for nafta](#)

E-majority rule government, in full electronic popular government, the utilization of data and correspondence innovations to improve and in a few records supplant agent vote based system.

Scholars of e-majority rule government contrast, yet most offer the conviction that a portion of as far as possible to citizenship in contemporary liberal-vote based nations—issues of scale, shortage of time, decrease of group, and absence of chances for approach consultation—can be overwhelmed by new types of online correspondence.

Hypothetical beginnings

An unmistakable collection of thoughts shapes the scenery to e-vote based system in both hypothesis and practice. Amid the 1960s an era of political scholars, including Benjamin Hair stylist, C.B. Macpherson, and Carole Pateman, set up a motivation for participatory majority rule government that persevered well into the 21st century.

Amid the 1980s numerous sociologists and political researchers reevaluated the idea of group. A few, for example, Robert Bellah and associates, weeped over the

escalation of independence in American culture and required another communitarian ethic. Others, for example, Amitai Etzioni, contended for forceful, sincerely effective group bonds based around family and region.

The last hypothetical motivation for e-vote based system is Jürgen Habermas' idea of the general population circle: an admired self-sufficient circle of correspondence in which nationals can uninhibitedly participate in contemplated talk about far from the controlling impact of the state, extensive media enterprises, and structures of social disparity. The possibility of nationals pondering in unreservedly shaped relationship in common society before taking that information up to the level of government reviews the immediate popular government of old Athens, yet e-vote based system refreshes this by concentrating on how political talk is intervened. The Web rises as a correspondence medium extraordinarily suited to giving various fields to open level headed discussion that are generally unconstrained, adaptable, and, most importantly, self-represented.

Group systems

Group arrangements first developed amid the 1970s however multiplied in numerous liberal majority rule governments amid the 1990s as the expenses of programming, PCs, and systems administration hardware started to fall. Early systems, for example, the Berkeley People group Memory Venture close San Francisco and the Santa Clause Monica Open Electronic System close Los Angeles, utilized fundamental innovations, for example, content based notice sheets, email, and free terminals in physical open spaces, for example, stores, group focuses, and libraries. Most people group systems are open private plans that consolidate three fundamental components: a rapid system offered gratis or at a sponsored rate to families; some type of group innovation focus, frequently situated in a group building; and an accentuation on making content particular to the nearby group.

Comparative Themes

coordinate popular government

democratization

law based deficiency

urban limit

consociationalism

deliberative popular government

parliamentary popular government

majoritarianism

Group systems depend on the possibility that by giving to common individuals the ability to shape the generation of online data about their nearby neighborhood, virtual groups can enhance geological groups by making new social ties and corresponding put stock in, the elements of social capital.

From people group to governmental issues

Some e-popular government ventures have endeavored to interface informal organizations with more extensive political procedures while staying autonomous of

government, gatherings, or intrigue gatherings. Preeminent among these is Minnesota E-Vote based system (later E-Democracy.org), which was set up in 1994 and wound up plainly one of the world's biggest subnational-level political dialog discussions.

In the mid 21st century there was a critical move toward endeavoring to connect online systems to formal political procedures. Focal and nearby government organizations and additionally councils gradually yet clearly begun to explore different avenues regarding on the web approach exchanges and subject counsels. These activities endeavored to give an extension between casual online considerations among nationals and structures of administration that furnish an interface with "genuine" basic leadership forms. The deliberative hand over administration has just produced a scope of non-Web strategies for including residents in arrangement making, for example, natives' juries, people groups' boards, nearby approach gatherings, center gatherings, small scale submissions, and petitions. E-vote based activities bring the Web in with the general mish-mash. These have by and large taken after two expansive models: consultative and deliberative.

Test Your Insight

The Senate moved into its present chamber in the north wing of the U.S. State house in Washington, D.C., in 1859.

Structures of Government: Truth or Fiction?

Consultative methodologies push the correspondence of resident supposition to government. The suspicion is that data is an asset that can be utilized to give better approach and organization. Presumably the best case of the consultative model in real life is the U.S. national government's e-lead making program. This is intended to permit intrigue gatherings and individual nationals to remark on office and office leads as they are being produced.

Britannica Stories

Demystified/Science

What Is a Delayed repercussion?

Safeguard group scanning for casualties caught under the trash in the fallout of the extent 7.2 quake that struck close Van, Turkey, on October 23, 2011.

Spotlight/History

Ruler Elizabeth's Court Soothsayer

'John Dee playing out an examination before Ruler Elizabeth I' by Henry Gillard Glindoni. Oil painting eighteenth century. Pentimento, mysterious, divination, enchantment.

Spotlight/Geology

A Trillion Tons of Antarctica Fell into the Ocean

In late August 2016, daylight come back to the Antarctic Promontory and divulged a break over the Larsen C Ice Retire that had developed longer and more profound over the austral winter

Demystified/Science

What Causes Tidal waves?

Carefully changed picture of torrent waves clearing over city (advanced adjustment; catastrophic event)

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

