

IT IS S.A.D.: THE LEFTIST BRAIN EXPOSED

Why Conservatives and Leftists Think so Differently

Copyright© 2010 Rooster Bradford

Contents

[PREFACE](#)

[CHAPTER 1](#): Getting to know the Author

[CHAPTER 2](#): Liberal and Conservative

[CHAPTER 3](#): Examples of Left Thought

[CHAPTER 4](#): Illogical Consistencies

[CHAPTER 5](#): The Worst Inconsistency

[CHAPTER 6](#): Leftist in Profile

[CHAPTER 7](#): Conservative in Profile

[CHAPTER 8](#): Source of the Difference

[CHAPTER 9](#): Problems of the Brain--An Overview

[CHAPTER 10](#): Social Autistic Dysfunction

[CHAPTER 11](#): The Common Sense Test

[CHAPTER 12](#): The Chronology of Hominids

“ANNUIT COEPTIS”

“God has favored our Undertaking.”

Above the Pyramid on U.S. Dollars

DEDICATION For: Sally B. O’Layne I want to dedicate this book to my Mother, the first Leftist in my life. Without her, I would not have made a lifelong quest to find out why Leftists and Conservatives think so differently about Government.

MY THANKS TO: “With a little help from my friends.”

Thomas Cooper who helped create the Cover My wife, for her help in proof reading and formatting the book. C. Lawrence, who also proof read and helped in our self-publishing of this book. My friends, who have shared a thought or two on the subject. My sincere “thank you” for all you have done.

[\(Return to Contents\)](#)

PREFACE

Who what and when

My name is Rooster Bradford. I am one of the few who knows where he was conceived. Knowing where one is born is common, but the moment of conception is seldom known. My assemblage began on a beach in Carpinteria, California. I know, most of you wonder why in the world such a happening is important. My Mother is the reason for this book. She had to tell me where I was conceived. She was a Leftist and knowing her very well was the catalyst for my life time search for an answer to the question: What is the reason or reasons a Leftist and a Conservative think so different?

Purpose of the book: In this book I will examine the obvious differences between Leftist and Conservative thinking.

From these we will study examples of the differences; note the logical inconsistencies; recognize the most important difference, and then begin drawing conclusions. In the final analysis, you will learn why I believe the Leftist brain suffers a sincere disability. They have an impaired ability to use common sense. This stands alone as the distinguishing feature of difference. How that occurs, is our quest.

My Background: I am not a licensed specialist in Psychology or in the medical sciences. I am, however, inquisitive and a good student of many fields of professional expertise. As an active trial attorney for over 34 years, I examined, cross examined and studied just about every recognized profession. For several years I specialized in defending and litigating in the medical profession. To be a good trial attorney one has to develop a rapid ability to act and react to the personalities in a court room. Of course the witnesses and parties are extremely important, but so are the clerks, bailiffs, other attorneys and certainly the Judge and Jury. I became very good at it and this talent has assisted me greatly in this quest.

My other life experiences have also helped. In making the decisions and conclusions found in this book, I was not handicapped by being a psychologist or Psychiatric specialist.

Those professions, like all, become mired down in categories and names peculiar to them. I had no such limitation. Here is a short overview of why I believe I can give you an adequate explanation on the subject.

I received a History and Political Science Degree at Stanford University, and earned an ROTC commission as an officer. I then did 2 plus years as an Armored Officer at Fort Knox, Kentucky and Fulda, Germany. In Germany I helped guard the then East/West Border separating the country.

Upon returning to civilian life, I finished Law School at the University Of Southern California (USC). During this time, on a dare, I obtained a commission as a Naval Intelligence officer and to this very day have good friends I met in that service. I stayed with it until I was rified with the Vietnam wind down.

My hobbies have been surfing, sailing, model building, car restoration, music playing, jass history, collection of all matter of things, an avid reader and student of history, father and coach of little league and soccer. Every day I try to play the piano, practice some brass instruments and play the Scottish Pipes. At one time I was the host of a TV show talk show. For some 16 years I hosted a Jass show on Radio, called "Jassdom Hall". Currently I have a Conservative talk show. I call it, "theroostercrows.net", a wakeup call.

In all of varied interests, I am provided help and support by my wonderful wife Susan.

Thinking about politics and political beliefs hit me early on. Mother was always in one cause or another. My parents divorced when I was 6. Father was your typical boot straps business man who felt government was in his way. He was Conservative. Neither ever took part in politics, as far as I know.

I was never one of those kids who knew what he wanted to do. Being a lawyer seemed ok and kind of fit my view of history and life. My father wanted to pay for it and so it was. My earliest thoughts on politics and political beliefs were Conservative. I used to puzzle at my Mothers way, and found that she and I simply could not discuss the subject without problems. Neither parent, nor any teacher I had, helped me become a Conservative. I was born one. My first political thoughts were conservative. Kind of hit the decks a running as a Conservative. I have never wavered.

As the years went along, I was a successful prosecutor, defense attorney, business counselor, farmer, and business owner. In law I was always a trial attorney. During the legal part of my life, I became a strong supporter of the United States Constitution and a firm believer that freedom and liberty absolutely depend on a government that is fractured, and filled with checks and balances. The founders and I share the belief that consolidated political power is sinister. I also took every opportunity to debate these issues and thoughts with liberals. I can recall many years of defending a Conservative organization called “The John Birch Society”. I believe Birch was a soldier in the Korean War. I never was a member but did study it. Liberals were absolutely “mad dogs” about this Society and we could get into some real sweaty debates, which I loved. Liberals had a terrible fear of this Society. Why?...was the question. To this day I do not know of one example of terror, aggression, or bad conduct by it or its members. The Society was a great subject for debate, and I used it for that. You can see the same fear and sometimes fright in a liberal when they hiccup at the thought of the “Tea Party People”. They have a genuine fear. Why? and for what reasons? To date I do not know of any bad conduct on the part of the so called Tea Parties. Both are collections of Conservatives and therein lay the foundation of Leftist fear.

Over these years I have become painfully aware of the significant differences between Conservatives and Liberals. Of course, there are many variables and each of us are different and not the same politically. However, in the pure sense of it all, a Leftist cannot be a Conservative and vice versa. It is simply an undeniable cerebral difference. Moreover the difference is seldom acquired. It is naturally occurring. One can be lead astray, but not for life. I have never met a recovering conservative, but have met hundreds of liberals who suddenly see the light, and convert, never to return.

After years and years of study, debate, and work with both sides, I finally have determined the cause. Politics is the issue most contentious. About Sex, the French have always said, “Viva la difference”. It is not the same with politics. Here much damage, destruction, and actual war can be caused by this difference. For most of us our right hand is dominate and dependable. Our left is less so. In politics it is much the same.

Fear the left, not the right. For that reason, more than any other, I would love to have everyone think like me. This is not going to happen. Political difference will always be dangerous.

The difference has always, until now, escaped close scrutiny.

When this book is published we can expect severe attacks and blasphemy upon my soul. The matter must be addressed and blown open for view. At my advanced years I have nothing to lose and maybe our world will be improved by what I write in this book.

Finally, I do believe I understand why one boy, like me, would hit the decks a Conservative and the kid across the street would also hit the same deck as a Liberal. That is the purpose of this book---to explain the difference and try to answer the question: Why?

[\(Return to Contents\)](#)

CHAPTER 1

(Capitulo 1 – Spanish)

GETTING TO KNOW THE AUTHOR.

THEME: This book will discuss some weighty thoughts.

They are provocative. The discussion will range from simple observations of the differences between the Leftist brain and the Conservative brain, into deep psychosocial analysis of their different thought patterns and thought disabilities. The purpose is to offer an explanation of why so many Conservatives observe that Leftists seem to have no common sense. The author has spent a lifetime studying this subject. He was educated at Stanford University and the University of Southern California, His B.A. degrees were in History and Political science. He obtained a Doctorate of Law from USC. Later he took every agriculture course available, entitling him to an AA in the subject of agriculture. He does not have a traditional PHD in psychology nor is he a Physician Surgeon with a specialty in Psychiatry. His background is better suited to this task, since he is freer to explore and postulate. As you think about it, because he is not bound up with categories, names and pigeon holes, he is better able to see the problem in a fresh light.

KNOWING THE AUTHOR: I am Rooster Bradford. It is important for you to know my bias and prejudices. I tend to be brief and this book was written that way. Who I am and what I believe is important as you read my analysis of the pivotal difference between the Conservative mind and the Liberal mind.

To borrow a phrase, I should be transparent. I am opening up new doors and windows to provide us all a better view. Why do liberals and Conservatives think so differently about so many important things in life? I will mostly use the term Leftist rather than Liberal since the latter is so vague.

The terms Conservative and Leftist have been coined to help us define general views on the nature of politics. The basic difference between a person who is Conservative and one who is a Leftist has to do with their thought process. That affects many things. In this book, however, we will focus on their political views.

Finding the answer has been a life time interest and study for me. As with most children, I was not political. As a child I did not see the differences, but I am certain they were present with the children I played with.

This difference is not an American problem. It includes each and every human being in the world. To remind you of the scope, I will add different languages to the Chapter numbers.

Just keep in mind the differences have always been with us and always will be.

Very early on I began to listen to and understand the world of political differences. My Mother was a full blown liberal and in those days a follower of Franklin D. Roosevelt and the Democrat party. FDR could do no wrong in her eyes. My Father was a remote person in my life. When I did see him, it was mostly for short times, and I never recall him discussing politics with me. Like a good boy, I followed the admonition that a child was to be seen, not heard. Clearly from what I did hear I could understand why he and my mother were divorced. Intellectually they were on different planets.

I did not become a Conservative. I was born that way.

Certainly the disagreement with my Mother's thoughts was more important than any comments by my Father. Neither was active in day to day politics or seemed to participate in political parties.

From the get-go, I always took the Conservative view when considering any issue which was politically important. In other words it was not instilled in me. I was born that way. I lived apart from my Mother and Father for many of my formative years. In my 6th year, I was placed in Burbank Military School and then with the advent of World War II, I was placed in Page Military School. Both were in the Los Angeles greater area. In those days and in those places, political indoctrination was minimal. With the war there was patriotism. Both liberal and Conservative were patriotic. In my ninth year I was taken by my Aunt Dorothy and Uncle Don and lived with them for another couple of years. Neither was political. During these years I know I thought as a Conservative. In the 6th grade my Mother reentered the picture and I lived with her. She was then married to a soldier serving in WW II. He was away. As I think about it there is no question she and I did not agree on politics. Now as a child you listen and keep your mouth shut, but the brain was saying ---Hey What!! She loved to discuss the political scene and attempted to convince me of the liberal mind set. There was no chance. I held my ground. I was not buying. Now why was that? I loved both my parents. They were not the kind who flooded me with love and affection, but were fair and interested in what I did, and less interested in what I thought, which is normal. I continued to live with my Mother until I went to Stanford University. If indoctrination was going to have a chance, that was the time. It did not. I remained Conservative.

As the years rolled on, my Mother lived with me for the last 10 years of her long life and in the last few years confessed to me she then believed she had been politically wrong. Never a Conservative, but she went out doubting her Leftists beliefs.

Trying to analyze why I was Conservative and others were not took up a lot of my thought. Why on earth did God or evolution cause this wonderful opportunity to disagree and sometimes get violent about it? If God did it ---- Why? If evolution did it, how come? While we were evolving for some 40 thousand years, this destructive attitude persisted. Wouldn't evolution have removed these rough edges? Wouldn't selection and family, make getting along a high priority item? I guess not.

Clearly I was born running on a Conservative deck. No significant environmental or social force made me do it. It has been my observation that most Leftists appear to pop up the same way, rather than be molded into the mindset.

Because of a broken home, I was put with a multitude of adults, especially in schools. I went to so many grammar schools I have lost track. They were varied, from a one room school to mega ones of immense proportions. In all of these no teacher stands out as a mentor. In the 30s, 40s, and early 50s teachers did not indoctrinate much. High school was neutral. I do not recall any political lineups. The only event that stands out was in a Spanish Class. We were discussing the politics of Spain and its Civil war of the 30s. In that war communism lost to a dictator, Franco. Someone asked Mr. Lisbon (our teacher) what socialism was and he described it as follows. Our class room was on the second floor. From where we (students) sat, you would see, on the left windows, and to the right a wall. Mr.

Lisbon was at the front and the back wall served as a place to hook up clothes and things. There were about 7 lines of desks.

He spoke along these lines. He said; let's suppose that everyone here was a socialist. We would believe in a common good and sharing the values of the land. We would believe that everyone was fair and would be tolerant of others. Now in this room the only real thing of value we can share are grades. The desks and room belong to the government. So let us suppose I give an exam and I grade it A, B, C, D and F on a curve.

(Whatever happened to the E) He continued; "Now I seat you all by grades. Over on the window we have As and on the far side, by the wall, we have all the Fs, The Bs are next to the As, then the Cs and then the Ds would be placed next to the Fs. Now in Capitalism that is the way it stays. In Socialism we cannot have such inequality and unfairness. We will have to take from the A's to give to the F's and so on until everyone has a C+." For whatever reason, I knew immediately where he was going.

When he got there, the class sat in silence. He had a time getting a discussion going. Finally, it began and clearly none of the talkers liked it. The fairness and tolerance did not appear.

Jealousy and envy seemed to rule the day. I doubt any student in that class ever loved Socialism, and maybe there was some indoctrination by his example, but Mr. Lisbon simply gave the facts and we had to decide the merits. Indoctrination---maybe, but I think not. My point is that Mr. Lisbon did not influence me.

What he said simply reinforced what I already knew. The light bulb for me was how many students had no idea. If there was someone who liked the idea they stayed quiet. It was an omen of the future.

After High School it was Stanford University for 4 years, the Army for 2+ then Law School and the practice of law. In all of my schooling, no mentor showed up. No one teacher influenced my political beliefs. What I was----stayed and have remained to this moment in time. How is that? At Stanford, I joined the Young Republicans and became politically active in a political party for the first time. All we really did was distribute papers, go to meetings and show up at Conservative speaker events. We also discussed such matters and one Conservative student and I became good intellectual friends and remain so today. We joined the same fraternity, went our separate career ways and eventually came together again and are very good friends as I write this book.

After the military service, I started studying for my law degree. I first went to Hastings Law School in San Francisco.

This was a public funded school, a part of the University of California. There I found many opportunities to debate during school and after. I found debate to be a wonderful intellectual way to test my beliefs. There were many liberals and they wanted to gang up on me. It was fun. After the first year, I transferred to USC law school, and obtained my doctorate in law.

On schedule I passed the State Bar and was admitted to practice in the State of California Courts and the Federal Courts (not the Supreme Court which is a different application.). Shortly after my graduation in 1961 Goldwater began the run for President. I found a hero in this Candidate. I remember the Cow Palace GOP convention of 1964, in San Francisco, and how his conservatism split the Republican Party. I knew I had to do a part to help out because so many Republicans in Name only (Not called RINOS then) refused to help. I even posted campaign posters in Watts, an entirely Black community in Los Angeles. You remember the one where the residences burned it down in 1965 because of a perceived race motivated impounding of the car of Marquette Frye. When the riots were over 34 were dead, 3032 injured and 3,952 arrested. Millions of dollars of property damage occurred as the rioters burned and pillaged the property of others. I hope my intrusion in 1964 had nothing to do with the heated tensions.

Still there never was a mentor or person who influenced my beliefs. Law School made me even more certain I was right. (Did I say Right?) What I mean is that I became a devotee to the rule of law over a Judge and a stronger devotee to the Constitution and the writings of the Founders.

In law practice, I was a trial lawyer and examined and cross examined just about every type of person and profession imaginable. I spent 5 years as a prosecutor and the rest in private practice. I continued to argue politics whenever I could.

Even at Hastings, I found most liberals refused to debate. That has been my life experience. In fact I must say, the few I was able to debate always caved. That is they ended up abandoning logic and common sense, and used emotional cymbals (not symbols) to end their participation. Such as “You just don’t get it, or You are racists, or Capitalists are just plain stupid, etc.” Facts and logic seemed to be abandoned by the liberal debaters who stayed with me.

In time, politics invited me into the mix and I ran for State office twice and, twice I came very close to the incumbent, but lost. My opponent was never found nude in a fountain with two lovely ladies. The incumbency factor did me in. In fact, it was a good thing, because I was a husband and father and quite poor.

If I had won, I would still be poor. Honest men do not get rich in politics.

The years rolled by and still I was outspoken and endeavored to win the minds and hearts of others to the Conservative view. Some call me a renaissance man. If that is true, no one should seek it. Keeps you very busy. Today, among many things, I write this book and have a Conservative talk show called “THE ROOSTER CROWS”

(TheRoosterCrows.net.) I am still at it, trying to convince folks of the best form of government for we, the flawed.

Finally, it is important to know my other interests. They may create a bias. Certainly political debate has always been a small part of my interests. First, I am compulsive about doing as many things as I can achieve physically. I went to school to learn all I could about farming and became a farmer. I still am farming avocados and lemons on my own ranch. I have spent over 30 years as a surfer, collect cars and restore them, love travel, started some 4 nonprofit companies and about the same number commercial ones. My wife and I run a company that's involved with organic production. I play some 13 musical instruments. As a part of my musical interests I formed a large Swing Band which played in all of the really neat places in Southern California. I had and still have a New Orleans Jass band (No, it is spelled correctly.) In it I play cornet and trombone. Each morning I play the piano and sing as often as I can. I am an accomplished Scottish Bagpipe player and have played banjo and guitar. Most of it has been self-taught, after I was 44 years of age. In this busy life I have been married twice and had two children with each. My wife and life partner's name is Susan. Along the way I have studied just about every subject I could. Jack of many and master of few. My critics will pick up on this admission. For example, I developed an extensive chronology of the development of Hominids (you and I). I wanted to prove there is no proof we evolved, came from God, or were dropped here by Aliens. The Chronology does that. I started it to argue against the liberal compulsion to adopt evolution as if it were a God. Trying to debate this issue clearly ran into a stone wall. I needed proof. So I now have it. You too can view this massive work as the last item in this book. I update it frequently and the most recent will be on my web page, *theroostercrows.net* Now you know a bit about me and will be in a better position to judge what I say and write.

As always I have been a Conservative. I register as a Republican and when I ran for office, I did so as a Republican.

When it comes to push or shove, I am through and through a defender of the Constitution as written and amended and opposed to centralization of power.

[\(Return to Contents\)](#)

CHAPTER 2

(Capitolo 2-Italian)

The inherent vice of Capitalism is the unequal sharing of the Blessings.

The inherent blessing of Socialism is the equal sharing of its Misery Winston Churchill.

LIBERAL AND CONSERVATIVE ISMS COMPARED You and I need to have a common understanding of these two concepts before we can really have a discussion. I will attempt to avoid the traditional cliché. As I thought about this important project it came clear we have to keep it simple. The subject is huge. We could easily get lost in the tangents. The main sign posts tell us to keep focused on political form. By this I mean, we must not get off on the merits of such things as racial tolerance, but stay on the track of political structure. Moreover, let us focus on liberals and Conservatives in the United States.

If we try to incorporate politics of Britain or Iran, we will lose our way. Liberalism and Conservatism are the same everywhere, but the local flavor changes the discourse.

Now in the United States Conservatism and Liberalism have different outlooks on the law. Conservatives want a strong written law and they begin with the Constitution. They want the judges and legislators to abide by the law as it has been set down. Liberals want a flexible law that can be moved about more easily. For example the Constitution spells out how it can be amended. Liberals want Judges and legislators to amend it.

To them it is faster and more certain. After all a Constitutional amendment requires a 2/3 vote. Conservatives love the concepts set up in the Constitution. They absolutely believe in the fallibility of their neighbor and others, and do not want them to be able to change the law easily. They want it slow and deliberate. They fear centralization of power. Liberals do not and do not care for the checks and balances set up in the Constitution.

I liken these differences, to the design of a gas motor.

When engineers design a gas motor they have to consider a series of checks and balances. For example if the motor is to be dependable and last a long time, the tolerances, the compression ratio, the intake of fuel, the oil distribution system must be designed with a lot of checks and balances. If the design is for power there are other considerations. If it is for speed then, again the engineers will design it with less checks and balances. Conservatives want the motor to last a long time with just enough power to do the job. Liberals tend to want speed and power. Any motor designed for a lot of power or speed has built into the design its own early self-destruction.

Compromises have had to be made to get to the outer limits. It is the law of nature. So it is with Socialism.

Conservatives and Liberals have very different conclusions about what motivates and moves their fellow human beings. Liberals are much more trusting of their fellows than are Conservatives. It is a marked difference. It underlies their differences in the use of the power of government. In short, it is a common sense difference.

Just looking at the collection of power we see marked strata between the two. Conservatives distrust power when it is human controlled. They believe it will be abused. Liberals are much more tolerant of powers use and feel that it will be used for the better and not the worse. In this context, I point out a strange comparison. Conservatives do not like dictators, kings, or power brokers in Politics, Unions or Gangs. Liberals do not see the danger, if any. They tend to adoration, such as "Camelot" with the Kennedy family, the willingness to allow FDR to seek a 4th term. Their flocking to the silver tongue of President Obama. It is as if the liberal is willing to turn over the travails of life to someone else in exchange for security. The Conservative distrust prevents a wiliness to become subjected to the whims of others. In this context then, the Liberal prefers a more flexible and movable government, and the Conservative wants one that moves very slowly and with difficulty.

Liberals believe in Democracy. Conservatives do not.

The latter believe in a representative democracy where the people do not make the decisions, but their elected representatives do. This is a check against wild emotional swings. Clearly the difference is based on trust. Liberals trust one for all and all for one, and Conservatives do not. People are too susceptible to emotion and too often it cripples

their clear thinking. Democracy can be too fast. Conservatives want more deliberation and debate.

So far I have used the word Liberal, but I fear it is too broad. In these times being a Liberal has lost definition.

Conservative has pretty much stayed the course. I now want to use the word Leftist. Other writers have called them Statists, Socialist, or Communists. These are variations on the same theme. Leftist are people who want one government, world government, one justice system etc. They do not want the delay that debate provides. In fact they do not want debate. They are so convinced they are correct that any opposing view should be covered up like a cat finishing its morning constitutional. I once had a jass show on a Public University FM station for some 16 years. I was in the eye of the storm. The station was used as a Leftist gathering and information center. It was S.A.D. to see so many people unwilling to debate. Being unwilling to debate simply means you no longer want to think about the issue. It is settled.

Another aspect of the definition of Liberal and Conservative revolves around their job choices. For example, Conservatives tend to select civilian solo type jobs and tough jobs like the Military. In the Military they are the preferred volunteer. By this I mean, a job where they have flexibility to go their own way. This is why so many private companies are created by and headed up by Conservatives. In the construction world it is hard to find a Leftist. Leftist are less independent and seek to join a group. For example, they like teaching, governmental jobs, or in the civil world joining up with large companies which function much like a governmental agency.

Let's look at choices between independent enterprise and governmental control. The Conservative wants freedom in enterprise. That is why they call it Free Enterprise. Liberals find such freedom worrisome. They prefer governmental control.

They believe a level playing field is more fair and easier to live with. They do not like surprises. A good example would be the current health take over by President Obama and the Socialist Pelosi and Reid (Both have admitted it at one time or another.) Religion or the lack there of is another good example. Leftist are generally against classic religion. This is so because they adamantly believe in Darwin's Theory (notice I say theory) of evolution. Believing in God and evolution at the same time is tough on the mindset. Here I am talking about those who think about politics and there are many who never go there. I am certain you know of many who attend church simply because it is a thing to do and an opportunity to say hello to neighbors. They may be liberal or Conservative, but they are not really thinking about it. Most Conservatives believe in God. They may believe in evolution as well, but they do not consider they are inconsistent. Any rational human being, who has ever faced death---looked it in the eye and came through to live again, believes in God. Why? Because in such a terrible time you need strength from without your puny frame, and God fills that need. Conservatives generally are not picky in which God. It is more of an acceptance of faith. A Leftist simply does not go there. Keep in mind in all of this discussion there are many exceptions. We are talking general overviews. A Leftist absolute acceptance that central power is benevolent is evidence of blind faith. It is akin to Classic Religion. In fact secularism is a faith which is threatened by an outer world God.

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

