PLATO

THE MAN AND HIS WORK

By the same author *

THE ELEMENTS OF METAPHYSICS PLATO: TIM^EUS AND CRIT1AS

(a translation)

A. E. TAYLOR

Professor of Moral Philosophy, University of Edinburgh

PLATO

THE MAN AND HIS WORK

.rest Graduate Library

ollf.gf. of Arts & Commerce. O. U.

METHUEN & CO. LTD, LONDON 36 Essex Street, Strand, WC2

First published October a8th 1926

Second Edition June if) 2j

Third Edition, Revised and Enlarged, October 1929

lonrth Edition, Revised, October iyj?

Fifth Edition July 1048

Sixth Edition June 1949

Reprinted 1952 and 1955

6-3

CATALOGUE NO. 3657/U

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY BUTLER AND TANNER LTD, FROMK AND LONDON, AND BOUND BY THE FISHER BOOKBINDING CO. LTD, LONDON

ТО

ALL TRUE LOVERS OF PLATO, QUICK AND DEAD,

AND IN PARTICULAR TO

PROFESSOR CONSTANTIN RITTER

Vagliami il lungo studio e 7 grande amore

PREFACE

I HOPE two classes of readers may find their account in this

book "Honours students " in our Universities, and readers

with philosophical interests, but no great store of

Greek

scholarship. What both classes most need in a work about Plato

is to be told just what Plato has to say about the problems of

thought and life, and how he says it. What neither needs is to be

told what some contemporary thinks Plato should have said. The

sense of the greatest thinker of the ancient world ought not to be

trimmed to suit the tastes of a modern neo-Kantian, neo-Hegelian,

or neo-realist. Again, to understand Plato's thought we must see

it in the right historical perspective. The standing background of

the picture must be the social, political, and economic life of the

age of Socrates, or, for the Laws, of the age of Plato. These con-

siderations have determined the form of the present volume. It

offers an analysis of the dialogues, not a systematization of their

contents under a set of subject-headings. Plato himself hated

nothing more than system-making. If he had a system, he has

refused to tell us what it was, and if we attempt to force a system

on a mind which was always growing, we are sure to end by \min -

representation. This is why I have tried to tell the reader just

what Plato says, and made no attempt to force a "system" on the

Platonic text. My own comments are intended to supply exegesis,

based as closely as may be on Plato's own words, not to applaud

nor to denounce. The result, I hope, is a picture which may claim

the merit of historical fidelity. For the same reason I

have been

unusually careful to determine the date and historical setting

assumed for each dialogue. We cannot really understand the

Republic or the Gorgias if we forget that the Athens of these con-

versations is meant to be the Athens of Nicias or Cleon, not the

very different Athens of Plato's own manhood, or if we find polemic

against Isocrates, in talk supposed to have passed at a time when

Isocrates was a mere boy. If it were not that the remark might

sound immodest, I would say that the model I have had before me

is Grote's great work on the Companions of Socrates. Enjoying

vii

viii PLATO: THE MAN AND HIS WORK

neither Crete's superb scholarship nor his freedom from limitations

of space, I have perhaps the compensation of freedom from the

prejudices of a party. Whatever bias I may have in metaphysics

or in politics, I have tried to keep it out of my treatment of Plato.

I must apologize for some unavoidable omissions. I have been

unable to include a chapter on the Academy in the generation

after Plato and Aristotle's criticisms of it; I have had to exclude

from consideration the minor dubia and the spuria of the Platonic

corpus; I have passed very lightly over much of the

biology of the

Timaeus. These omissions have been forced on me by the necessity

of saying what I have to say in one volume of moderate compass.

For the same reason I have had to make my concluding chapter

little more than a series of hints. This omission will, I trust, be

remedied by the publication of a study, "Forms and Numbers," which

will, in part, appear in Mind simultaneously with the issue of this

volume. The details of the Timaeus are fully dealt with in a

Commentary now in course of printing at the Clarendon Press. A

brief account better than none of the transmission of the Platonic

tradition will be found in my little book, Platonism and its Influence

(1924; Marshall Jones Co., Boston, U.S.A.; British Agents,

Harrap & Son).

Want of space has sometimes forced me to state a conclusion

without a review of the evidence, but I hope I have usually indicated

the quarters where the evidence may be sought. May I say, once

for all, that this book is no "compilation"? I have tried to form

a judgment on all questions, great and small, for myself, and mention

of any work, ancient or modern, means, with the rarest of exceptions,

that I have studied it from one end to the other.

There remains the grateful duty of acknowledging obligations.

I am a debtor to many besides those whom I actually quote, and I $\$

hope I have not learned least from many whose views I

feel bound

to reject. In some cases I have echoed a well-known phrase or

accepted a well-established result without express and formal

acknowledgment. It must be understood that such things are

mere consequences of the impossibility ol excessive multiplication

of footnotes, and that I here, once for all, request any one from

whom I may have made such a loan to accept my thanks. The

recommendations at the ends of chapters are not meant to be

exhaustive nor necessarily to imply agreement with all that is said

in the work or chapter recommended. The last thing I should wish

is that my readers should see Plato through my spectacles. I wish

here to make general mention of obligation to a host of scholars of

our own time, such as Professors Apelt, Parmentier, Robin, Dr.

PREFACE ix

Adolfo Levi, the late Dr. James Adam, and others, besides those

whose names recur more frequently in my pages. The immense

debt of my own generation to scholars of an earlier date, such as

Grote, Zeller, Diels, Baeumker, Bonitz, is too obvious to need more

than this simple reference.

To two living scholars I must make very special acknowledgment.

How much I owe to the published writings of my friend and colleague

in Scotland, Professor Burnet, will be apparent on almost every page

of my book; I owe even more to suggestions of every kind received

during a personal intercourse of many years. I owe no less to

Professor C. Ritter of Tubingen, who has given us, as part of the

work of a life devoted to Platonic researches, the best existing

commentary on the Laws and the finest existing full-length study

of Plato and his philosophy as a whole. One cannot despair of

one's kind when one remembers that such a work was brought to

completion in the darkest years Europe has known since 1648. It

is a great honour to me that Dr. Rittnr has allowed me to associate

his name with this poor volume. Finally, I thank the publishers

for their kindness in allowing the book to run to such a length.

A. E. TAYLOR EDINBURGH, July 1926

NOTE TO SECOND EDITION

THIS Second Edition only differs from the first by the correction of misprints, the addition of one or two references and the modification of a few words in two or

three of the footnotes.

A. E. TAYLOR EDINBURGH, March 1927

NOTE TO THIRD EDITION

APART from minor corrections and some additions to the references appended to various chapters, this edition only

differs from its precursors by the presence of a Chronological

Table of Dates and an Appendix, dealing briefly with the dubia

and spuria of the Platonic tradition. (I have, for convenience*

sake, included in this a short account of a number of Platonic

epistles which I myself believe to be neither dubious nor spurious,

but have not had occasion to cite in the body of the book.) I

should explain that this essay was substantially written in 1926,

though it has been revised since.

1 take this opportunity of mentioning the following recent works,

to which I should have been glad to give more specific references

in the text, had they come into my hands a little sooner. All will

be found valuable by the serious student of Plato.

STENZEL, J. Platon der Erzieher. (Leipzig, 1928.)

SOLMSEN, F. Der Entwichlung der Aristotelischen Logik und

Rhetorik. (Berlin, 1929.)

WALZER, R. Magna Moralia und Aristotelische Ethik. (Berlin,

1929.)

TOEPLITZ, O. Das Verhdltnis von Mathematik und Ideenlehre bei

Plato, in Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik I. i.

(Berlin, 1929.)

ROBIN, L. Greek Thought and the Origins of the Scientific Spirit.

(E. Tr. from the revised edition of the author's La Pense'e

Grecque, London, 1928.)

A. E. TAYLOR.

EDINBURGH, July, 1929

NOTE TO FOURTH EDITION

I HAVE made few changes in this new edition of the text,

though I have been led to rewrite one or two paragraphs in

the chapter on the Timaeus by study of Professor Cornford's

valuable commentary on his translation of the dialogue. I have

tried to remove misprints and detected errors throughout. Among

works important for the student of Plato published since the earlier

editions of this book I could mention in particular the following :

FRUTIGER, P. Les Mythes de Platon. (Paris, 1930.)

SHOREY, P. What Plato Said. (Chicago, 1933.)

NOVOTNY, F. Platonis Epistulae. (Brno, 1930.)

HARWARD, J. The Platonic Epistles. (E. Tr. Cambridge, 1932.)

FIELD, G. C. Plato and His Contemporaries. (London, 1930.)

CORNFORD, F. M. Plato's Cosmology, the Timaeus of Plato trans-

lated with a running commentary. (London, 1937.) SCHULL, P. M. Essai sur la Formation de la Pense'e Grecque. (Paris,

1934)

Χ CONTENTS CHAP. PAGE I. THE LIFE OF PLATO. i II. THE PLATONIC WRITINGS 10 III. MINOR SOCRATIC DIALOGUES : HIPPIAS MAJOR, HIPPIAS MINOR, ION, MENEXENUS 23 IV. MINOR SOCRATIC DIALOGUES : CHARMIDES, L ACHES t LYSIS . 46 V. MINOR SOCRATIC DIALOGUES: CRATYLUS, EUTHYDEMUS. 75 VI. SOCRATIC DIALOGUES: CORGI AS, MENO 103 VII. SOCRATIC DIALOGUES: EUTHYPHRO, APOLOGY, CRITO * , 146 VIII. PHAEDO . . . * 174 IX. SYMPOSIUM 209 X. PROTAGORAS 235 XI. REPUBLIC 263 XII. PHAEDRUS 299 XIII. THBABTETUS 320 XIV. PARMENIDBS 349 XV. SOPHISTES-TOLITICUS 37 1

A. E. TAYLOR.

| XVI. PHILEBUS 40^ |
|--|
| XVII. TLMARUS AND CRITIAS , 3. 6 |
| XVIII. LAWS AND EPIKOMIS 463 |
| XIX. PLATO IN THE ACADEMY FORMS AND NUMBERS 503 |
| ADDENDA 517 |
| CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE 519 |
| APPENDIX THE PLATONIC APOCRYPHA521 |
| INDEXES |
| I. INDEX OF PROPER NAMES 557 |
| II. INDEX OF SUBJECTS |
| xi |
| |
| THE following abbreviations have occasionally been used: |
| E.G.Ph* = BURNET, Early Greek Philosophy (yd edition), |
| 1920. E.R.E. = HASTINGS, Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, |
| 1908-1921. |
| R.P. = RITTER AND PREFER, Histona Philosophiae Graccae |

(9th edition), 1913.

THE MAN AND HIS WORK

CHAPTER I
THE LIFE OF PLATO 1

PLATO, son of Ariston and Perictione, was born in the month

Thargelion (May-June) of the first year of the eighty-eighth

Olympiad by the reckoning of the scholars of Alexandria,

428-7 B.C. of our own era, and died at the age of eighty or eighty-

one in Ol. 108.1 (348-7 B.C.). These dates rest apparently on the $\frac{1}{2}$

authority of the great Alexandrian chronologist Eratosthenes and

may be accepted as certain. Plato's birth thus falls in the fourth

year of the Archidamian war, in the year following the death

of Pericles, and his death only ten years before the battle of Chae-

ronea, which finally secured to Philip of Macedon the hegemony

of the Hellenic world. His family was, on both sides, one of the

most distinguished in the Athens of the Periclean age. On the

father's side the pedigree was traditionally believed to go back to

the old kings of Athens, and through them to the god Posidon. On $\,$

the mother's side the descent is equally illustrious and more his-

1 The chief extant lives are : (a) Apuleius, de Platone, \. 1-4; (6) Diogenes Laertius, iii. i (critical edition, Basle, 1907); (c) Olympiodorus (Platonis Opera, ed. Hermann, vi. 190-195). The least bad of these is (6), which appears

to have been originally composed for a lady amateur of Platonic philosophy

((/uXoTrXaruw W COL StKa/wj virapxov<ry , 47), not before the latter part

of the first century of our era. The one or two references to the scholar

Favorinus of Aries may possibly be later marginal annotations by an owner

or copier of the text. If they are original, they would bring down the date

of the Life to the latter part of the second century A.D. In the main Diogenes

Laertius appears to give the version of Plato's life accepted by the literati

of Alexandria. But we can see from what we know of the work of Alex-

andrians like Sotion, Satyrus, and Hermippus, that biographies were already

being ruined by the craze for romantic or piquant anecdote before the end

of the third century B.C. In Plato's case there is a peculiar reason for

suspicion of Alexandrian narratives. The writers were largely dependent

on the assertions of Aristoxenus of Tarentum, a scholar of Aristotle who

had known the latest generation of the fourth century Pythagoreans. Aris-

toxenus has long been recognized as a singularly mendacious person, and

he had motives for misrepresenting both Socrates and Plato. See Burnet,

Greek Philosophy, Part /., p. 153.

2 PLATO: THE MAN AND HIS WORK

torically certain, and is incidentally recorded for us by Plato himself

in the Timaeus. Perictione was sister of Charmides and cousin of

Critias, both prominent figures in the brief "oligarchic "anarchy

which followed on the collapse of Athens at the end of the Pelopon-

nesian war (404-3 B.C.). The grandfather of this Critias, Plato's

maternal great-grandfather, was another Critias, introduced in the

Timaeus, whose own great-grandfather Dropides was a "friend and

kinsman " of Solon, the great Attic legislator. The father of this

Dropides, also called Dropides, the first member of the house who

figures in authentic history, was the archon of the year 644 B.C.

Besides Plato himself, Ariston and Perictione had at least three

other children. These were two older sons, Adimantus and Glaucon,

who appear as young men in Plato's Republic, and a daughter

Potone. Ariston appears to have died in Plato's childhood; his

widow then married her uncle Pyrilampes, whom we know from the

allusions of the comic poets to have been a personal intimate of

Pericles as well as a prominent supporter of his policy. Pyrilampes

was already by a former marriage the father of the handsome

Demus, the great "beauty " of the time of the Archidamian war;

by Perictione he had a younger son Antiphon who appears in Plato's

Parmenides, where we learn that he had given up philosophy for

horses. 1

These facts are of considerable importance for the student of

Plato's subsequent career. Nothing is more characteristic of him

than his lifelong conviction that it is the imperative duty of the

philosopher, whose highest personal happiness would be found in

the life of serene contemplation of truth, to make the supreme

sacrifice of devoting the best of his manhood to the service of his

fellows as a statesman and legislator, if the opportunity offers.

Plato was not content to preach this doctrine in the Republic ; he

practised it, as we shall see, in his own life. The emphasis he lays

on it is largely explained when we remember that from the first he

grew up in a family with traditions of Solon and accustomed through

several generations to play a prominent part in the public life of

the State. Something of Plato's remarkable insight into the realities

of political life must, no doubt, be set down to early upbringing in

a household of "public men." So, too, it is important to remember,

though it is too often forgotten, that the most receptive years of

Plato's early life must have been spent in the household of his step-

father, a prominent figure of the Periclean regime. Plato has often

been accused of a bias against "democracy." If he had such a

bias, it is not to be accounted for by the influence of early sur-

roundings. He must have been originally indoctrinated with

"Periclean "politics; his dislike of them in later life, so far as it

1 See the family tree in Burnet, Greek Philosophy, Part I., Appendix I., p. 357.

For Pyrilampes, cf. Charmides, 1580, and for Demus, Gorgias, 48 id 5, Aristo- $\,$

phanes, Wasps, 98. According to Ep. xiii. 3610,

Perictione was still alive

at the date of writing (i.e. about 366), but her death was expected, as

Plato speaks of the expense of the funeral as one which he will shortly have to

meet. Nothing is known of Pyrilampes after the battle of Delium $(424 \ B.C.)$.

THE LIFE OF PLATO 8

is real at all, is best intelligible as a consequence of having been

" behind the scenes." If he really disliked democracy, it was not

with the dislike of ignorance but with that of the man who has

known too much.

The actual history of Plato's life up to his sixtieth year is almost

a blank. In his own dialogues he makes a practice of silence about

himself, only broken once in the Apology, where he names himself as

one of the friends who urged Socrates to increase the amount of the

fine he proposed on himself from one mina to thirty and offered

to give security for the payment, and again in the Phaedo, where

he mentions an illness as the explanation of his absence from the

death-scene. 1 Aristotle adds the one further detail that Plato had

been " in his youth familiar with " the Heraclitean Cratylus, though

we cannot be absolutely sure that this is more than a conjecture of

Aristotle's own. The later writers of the extant Lives of Plato add

some details, but these are mainly of a purely anecdotal kind and

not to be implicitly trusted. In any case their scraps of anecdote

throw no light on Plato's life or character and we may safely

neglect them here. All we can be sure of, down to Plato's twenty-

sixth year, is that the influence of friendship with Socrates must

have been the most potent force in the moulding of his mind. (We

may add that if Aristotle's statement about Cratylus 2 really is

more than an inference, the Heraclitean doctrine, learned from

Cratylus, that the world disclosed to us by our senses is a scene of

incessant and incalculable mutability and variation, was one which

Plato never forgot. He drew, says Aristotle, the conclusion that

since there is genuine science, that of which science treats must be

something other than this unresting " flux " of sense-appearances.)

The gossiping Alexandrian biographers represented Plato as

* hearing " Socrates at the age of eighteen or twenty. This cannot

mean that his first introduction to Socrates took place at that age.

We know from Plato himself that Socrates had made the close

acquaintance of Plato's uncle Charmides in the year 431, and was

even then familiar with Critias. 8 Presumably Plato's acquaintance

with Socrates, then, went back as far as he could remember. The

Alexandrian tales will only mean that Plato became a "disciple "

of Socrates as soon as he was an tyyfios or "adolescent," a period

of life currently reckoned as beginning at eighteen and

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- > Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

