

Choice

By david m. giosa

Introduction

This book is about two fictional characters that must face modern day issues and what direction their choices will take them. And doing so without giving up their free will and ability to make their own choice. To solving issues without having someone else make the decision for them.

CHOICE

THIS STORY BEGINS WITH THE BIRTH OF TWO BOYS FROM DIFFERENT FAMILYS INTO A WORLD DIVIDED, EVEN THE COUNTY THEY LIVED IN WAS DIVIDED. THE DIVISION IN THERE COUNTY WAS DIVIDED IN BY TWO PARTIES. THEY SAW THINGS THAT MADE NO SENCE FOR THE DIVISION. IT DID NOT MATCH WHAT THEY LEARNED IN SCHOOL. AS TIME WENT ON THE TWO FRIENDS LIVES WOULD GO IN SEPARATE DIRECTIONS. WHAT WE WILL SEE IS WHEN A SITUATION ARRIVED, THERE WILL BE TWO DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES OF THE SITUATION. AS THEY WERE TOUGHT, ALL MEN ARE CREATED EQUAL, BUT IS THAT THE CASE IN REAL LIFE. IF YOU LOOK AT THINGS FROM GODS VIEW, A CAT IS A CAT, A DOG IS A DOG, AND A HUMAN IS A HUMAN. IT DOES NOT MATTER IF HE IS RICH OR POOR, SUCCESFULL OR LIVING ON THE STREET. THE BOTTOM LINE IS A HUMAN IS A HUMAN. IF THIS IS TRUE ALL MEN ARE CREATED EQUAL NO MATTER THERE RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX OR SEXUAL ORIENTATION. THEN WHY DOES DISCRIMANATION EXIST. AS THE TWO FRIENDS LIVES MOVE ON IN DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS, THERE PERSPECTIVES ON THIS MATTER AND OTHER MATTERS WILL ALSO BE DIFFERENT. THE BOTTOM LINE IS GOD GRANTS FREE WILL TO ALL HUMANS. HE DOUS NOT GRANT THE RIGHT TO FORCE THERE FREE WILL ONTO OTHERS.

BUT OF COURSE, THIS IS NOT WHAT WE SEE IN THE REAL WORLD. EVEN THOUGH WE SEE POLITITIONS AND A SMALL MAJORITY OF PEOPLE DOING THE COMPLETE OPPOSITE OF FREE WILL. WHAT THE TWO FRIENDS REALIZED IS THESE PEOPLE ARE NOT GOD. THEY MAY TRY TO HIDE BEHIND GOD AND RELIGIUS WRITINGS TO PUSH THERE EXSTREAM AGENDA ONTO OTHERS. BUT THE BOTTOM LINE STILL EXISTS THAT THEY ARE NOT GOD AND FREE WILL DOES EXIST, AND YOU HAVE A CHOICE. LETS LOOK WERE THERE FREE WILL IS CHALLANGED. ABORTION- ONE FRIEND MAY BELIEVE A WOMAN SHOULD HAVETHE RIGHT TO CHOOSE AND THAT DICISION SHOULD BE BETWEEN HER AND HER DOCTOR. THE OTHER FRIEND MAY BELIEVE LIFE IS PRECIUS AND A WOMAN SHOULD GO THROUGH THE FULL PROCESS OF PREGNANCY. JUST FROM LOOKING AT THIS ARE THEY TAKING AWAY A WOMANS FREE WILL, JUSTBECAUSE THERE A WOMAN. DOUS THIS NOT MAKE THEM A SECOND CLASS CITIZEN AND DEPRIVETHEM OF THERE FREE WILL.THERE IS NO MENTION OF MEN BEING PART OF THIS DEBATE, WHAT ARETHEYGIVING UP. THEY DON'T HAVE TO PUT THERE BODY AT RISK, AND THEY ARE NOT ASKED TO GIVE UPTHERE FREE WILL. NOW THE TWO FRIENDS HAVE A NEW DEBATE TO CONSIDER. NOW THEY MOVE ONTO GUNS, ONE IS AGAINST GUNS AND THE OTHER OWNES A GUN. ONE SAYS HE IS A RESPONSIBLE GUNOWNER, HE LOCKS UP HIS GUN WHEN HE IS NOT USING IT. AND HE IS THE ONLY ONE ALLOWED TO USE HIS GUN.

NOW LETS LOOK AT THE OTHER FRIEND WHO IS AGAINST GUNS. HE HAS SEEN CHILDREN SHOOTING CHILDREN, MASS SHOOTINGS AT SCHOOLS, PLACES OF WORSHIP, SUPER MARKETS AND EVERY WHERE ELSE.ARE THEY BOOTH RIGHT, ONLY TIME WILL TELL, NEW LAWS COULD BE PASSED. RED FLAG LAWS, MENTAL HEALTH, AGE OF OWNERSHIP, ANY LAW THAT KEEPS THE GUNS OUT OF THE HANDS OF PEOPLE WHO SHOULD NOT POCCESS A GUN. GUN OWNERS SECURING THERE WEAPONS WHEN NOT IN USE, HOLDING STRAW PURCHASERS LIABLE FOR GUNS USED IN A CRIME AND FACING THE SAME PENALTY AS THE PERSON USING THE GUN TO COMMIT THE CRIME. NOW LETS LOOK AT THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COIN. WHY SHOULDN'T A RESPONSIBLE PERSON OWN A GUN. HIS RIGHT TO PROTECT HIMSELF, HUNT OR JUST AS A COLLECTER TO RESELL AT A PROFIT.THIS IS THE DELEMA THE TWO FACE, BOTH SIDES ARE RIGHT, BUT THERE HAS TO BE SOME GIVE ROOM FROM BOTH SIDES OF THE ISSUE. AND REMEMBER THAT THE TEN COMMANDMENTS SAYS THOU SHALL NOT KILL ALONG WITH THE LAW. NOW LETS LOOK AT CERTAIN GROUPS OF POPULATION AROUND THE WORLD. BOTH FRIENDS HAVE A STAKE IN WHATS GOING ON IN CERTAIN CONFLICS AROUND THE WORLD. ISRIAL IS OCCUPYING AND INCURING ON PALASTIEN LAND, RUSSIA IS OCCUPYING AND INCURRING ON UCRAINE LAND. ONE IS AN ALLIE AND ONE IS AN AVERSARY, YET THERE DOING THE SAME THING.

THE TWO FRIENDS ARE TOLD TO TAKE SIDES. WHEN THE REAL QUESTION IS WHICH SIDE IS RIGHT AND WHICH SIDE IS WRONG IN THIS MATTER. OBVIOUSLY BOTH ISRIAL AND RUSSIA ARE THE AGGRESSURES AND SIDES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO BE TAKEN, THERE CHOICE WAS ALREADY MADE. NOW THE TWO FRIENDS ARE LOOKING AT POLITICS. ONE IS REPUBLICAN AND ONE IS DEMECRAT, EVEN THOUGH IF BOTH WERE INDEPENDENT THEY WOULD CHOOSE WHO IS BEST FOR THE JOB INSTEAD OF VOTING ON PARTY LINES AND HAVING THERE CHOICE CHOSEN FOR THEM.THERE DECISIONS SHOULD BE BASED ON WHO IS BEST FOR THE COUNTRY AND SHOULD NOT HAVE TO CHOOSE SIDES. WITH ONLY ONE THIRD OF ELAGBLE VOTERS VOTING AND YET ALL ELAGBLE VOTERS PAY TAX TO SUPPORT THE GOVERNMENT. AND REMEMBERING THE TAXS COLLECTED PAY THESE POLITICIANS SALERY TO FIX PROBLEMS THAT ARRISE AND MAKE THE RIGHT DISSISION THAT BENEFIT ITS CITIZENS. WHY TAKE SIDES WHEN ITS POLITICIANS WHO ARE GETTING PAID TO DO THERE JOB. SOMETIMES IT IS JUST EASYSER TO SAY TO YOURSELF, WHAT WOULD GOD DO, AND USESUALLY YOU COME TO THE RIGHT PROGNOSIS TO AN ISSUE. VIEWING BOTH SIDES OF A ISSUE WILL ALWAYS LEAD TO THE RIGHT DECISSION, REMEMBER THERE IS ALWAYS TWO SIDES TO EVERY STORY. JUDGES FACE THIS EVERY DAY IN COURT, THEY MUST LISTEN TO BOTH SIDES BEFORE MAKING A RULING. THE TWO FRIENDS ARE NOW FACED WITH A NEW ISSUE ABOUT GLOBAL WARMING. THIS WILL EFFECT BOTH OF THEM NOW AND INTO THE FUTURE. ONE BECAME A CLIMATE DENIER AND BELIEVES THINGS ARE NOT SO BAD. THE OTHER FRIEND CAN SEE THE WEATHER PATTERNS CHANGING AND REALIZE PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE LISTENED TO THE SCIENTISTS WHEN THEY WARNED OF THE CHANGE HAPPENING.

EXTREME HOT DAYS WERE NOW TURNING INTO WEEKS, EXTREME COLD DAYS WERE TURNING INTO WEEKS. TORNADOES AND HURRICANES WERE HAPPENING MORE OFTEN IN AREAS WERE THIS HAPPENED EVERY COUPE OF YEARS WAS NOW A YEARLY EVENT, ALSO THEY ARE BECOMING MORE EXTREAM AND DEADLY. FLOADING WAS NOW A FREQUENT OCCURANCE IN AREAS THAT ONLY EXPERIENCE FLOODING EVERY COUPLE OF YEARS. DROUGHTS ARE LASTING LONGER AND EFFECTING THE FARMING INDUSTRY ALONG WITH CREATING WATER SHORTAGES ACROSS THE COUNTRY. A SUBJET THAT AT ONE TIME BOTH FRIENDS DEBATED HAS NOW BECOME REALALITY.THERE WAS NO TURNING BACK THE CLOCK, WHAT THE FOCEL FUEL INDUSTRY AND POLITITIONS TOLD THEM THAT WOULD NOT HAPPEN, HAPPENED. THEY COULD WAIT FOR POLITICIANS TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE, BUT REALIZED THEY WERE WARNED LONG AGO AND NO ACTION WAS TAKEN. THE ONLY OPTION NOW IS TO TRY AND SLOW IT DOWN. BOTH FRIENDS REALIZED THIS WOULD REQUIRE A GROUP EFFORT AND BE INVOLVED IN THIS EFFORT. THEY NOW KNEW IT WOULD CHANGE THE WAY OF LIFE AND WOULD NEED TO CHANGE THERE LIFE STYLES. THE EXSPENCE OF EVERY DAY LIVING WOULD RISE, SHORTAGES NOW WOULD BE SEEN MORE OFTEN, AND NOW THEY WOULD HAVE TO MAKE CUTS TO THERE LIFE STYLES JUST TO SURVIVE.

EVEN THOUGH WITH ALL THEY DID, THEY KNEW IT WOULD TAKE A GROUP EFFORT TO SLOW DOWN THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE, THIS WAS NOW A WORLD ISSUE. THE PUBLIC SECTOR WOULD HAVE TO GET BEHIND A MOVE TO CHANGE THE DIRECTION THINGS WERE GOING. WAITING ON POLITITION TO DO SOMETHING WAS AN EFFORTLESS OPTION, THEY HAD THERE CHANCE AND FAILED MISERABLY. THEY NEVER ACTED ON THE WARNINGS, SO WHAT WERE THE FRIENDS TO THINK WOULD HAPPEN, MORE ARGUING ABOUT THE ISSUE WAS THE ONLY THING COMING FROM THAT GROUP. THE LONG TERM EFFECT OF CLIMATE CHANGE HAS NOT COME TO ITS FULL TUITION ON THE WORLD YET. ONLY TIME WILL TELL, THIS SUBJECT STILL NEEDS TO PLAY OUT. THE ONLY OPTION FOR THE TWO FRIENDS WERE TO DO THERE PART IN SLOWING IT DOWN AND HOPE OTHERS DID THERE PART. BOTH FRIENDS KNEW MAN TOGETHER MADE THIS MESS AND IT WOULD TAKE MAN WORKING TOGETHER TO FIX IT. THE NEXT ISSUE THAT CONFRONTED THE TWO WERE SOCIAL MEDEA, THIS COULD BE A GOOD TOOL FOR CHANGE AND ALSO COULD CREATE MORE ISSUES FOR THEM.THEY BOTH KNEW THAT THERE WERE BAD ACTORS OUT THERE THAT WOULD USE THIS TOOL TO ADVANCE THERE OWN SELFISH BELIEFS.ONE FRIEND USED SOCIOL MEDEA FOR NEWS, TO KEEP INTOUCH WITH OLD FRIENDS AND SO ON. THE OTHER FRIEND USED BIPARTICAN NEWS TO KEEP UP WITH EVENTS AND USED THE PHONE AND TEXT TO KEEP UP WITH FRIENDS. HE DID NOT LIKE HAVING HIS PERSONEL INFORMATION ALL OVER THE NET FOR HACKERS AND ADVERTISERS TO USE AGAINST HIM OR TRACK HIS SPENDING HABBITS. ALSO SOCIAL MEDIA IS JUST SOMEONES OPINION AND USUALLY NOT FACTUAL.

BESIDES SOCIAL MEDEA CAN LEAD TOALL KINDS OF PROBLEMS,
MISINFORMATION, DISINFORMATION, BULLYING, IDENITY THEFT AND THREATS FROM
SOME ONE WHO MAY DISAGREE WITH YOUR VIEW ON CERTAIN SUBJECTS. HE LIKES THE IDEA WITH
DEALING WITH FACTUAL INFORMATION AND MAKING HIS OWN DECISSION ON SUBJETS WITHOUT
SOME ONE HE NEVER MET GIVING THERE OPINION. BOTH FRIENDS LOOK AT THE PRO AND CONS OF
SOCIAL MEDEA AND AGREE IT HAS ITS POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EFFECTS ON PEOPLE AND SHOULD BE
TAKEN WITH CONCERN AND CAUTION. ALL INFORMATION SHOULD BE FACT CHECKED BEFORE ACTING
ON IT. AND LIMIT PERSONAL INFORMATION THEY ALLOW ON IT.ONE FRIEND STAYS ON SOCIAL MEDEA,
BUT NOW APROUCHES IT WITH MORE CAUTION, THE OTHER JUST STAYS OFF IT TO AVOID POTENTIAL
ISSUES. THIS WOULD HAVE NEVER CAME ABOUT IF BOTH FRIENDS DID NOT DISCUSS THE ISSUES SOCIAL
MEDIA PRESENTS. THE WHOLE REASON GOD IS SO BIG ON FREE WILL IS BECAUSE HE WANTS YOU TO
GATHER ALL THE FACTS AND BASE YOUR DECISION OFF YOUR FINDING, WHEATHER THERE WRONG OR
RIGHT. YOU WILL BE THE ONE WHO HAS TO LIVE WITH THE DECISION YOU MADE AND NOT BLAME GOD
FOR MISTAKES THAT YOU MADE. THIS IS HOW FREE WILL IS MEANT TO WORK, IN THE END YOU MAY BE
JUDGED ON HOW YOU USED FREE WILL, BUT THAT'S JUST LIFE.

YOU MAY BE WRONG, YOU MAY BE RIGHT, THE BOTTOM LINE IS YOU USED YOUR FREE WILL AND GAVE YOURSELF A CHOICE. NOW YOU HAVE A BASIS FOR THE DECISION YOU CHOOSE AND IT'S BACKED UP BY FACTUAL INFORMATION BECAUSE YOU LISTENED TO BOTH SIDES OF THE STORY. MAYBE IF EVERYBODY USED THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION GATHERING AND THERE FREE WILL CORRECTLY, THEY WOULD STOP USING GODS NAME IN VAIN AND STAND BEHIND THE DECISIONS THEY MAKE AND STOP BLAIMING EVERYBODY ELSE FOR THERE PROBLEMS.

THE NEXT SUBJECT TO FACE THE TWO IS THE ECONOMY AND HOW THE BUSINESS CYCLE DICTATES WHAT THE ECONOMY WILL DO IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CYCLE. INFLATION, HIGH OR LOW INTREST RATES, GDP, RECESSION AND HIGH OR LOW EMPLOYMENT, SUPPLY AND DEMAND ISSUES. THIS IS ALL PART OF A GLOBAL ECONOMY AND WERE WE ARE IN THE BUSINESS CYCLE. THE PUBLIC SECTOR CREATES THESE ISSUES AND NOT JUST ONE MAN AS POLITICIANS WANT YOU TO BELIEVE. THEY BOTH SEE THE MEDIA AND SOME POLITITIONS TRY TO TAKE CREDIT FOR GOOD ECONOMIC TIMES AND BLAME OTHERS WHEN THE ECONOMY GOES BAD. REMBERING BOTH THE MEDIA AND POLITICIANS OPPERATE ON FEAR TO GET VIEWERS AND VOTES. WERE WE ARE IN THE BUSINESS CYCLE WILL TELL THEM WHAT THE ECONOMY WILL BE DOING. REMEMBERING HISTORY ,THE GREAT DEPRESION WAS CAUSED BY A POLITICIAN AND THAT'S WHY THE FEDERAL RESURVE WAS CREATED AND THEY ARE NOT PART OF CONGRESS OR THE WHITEHOUSE AND MAKE THERE OWN DECISIONS. THE PRIVATE SECTOR CONTROLS THE ECONOMY THROUGH SUPPLY AND DEMAND AND THE

FEDERAL RESERVE TRY TO KEEP INFLATION AND GDP BALANCED THROUGH INTEREST RATE HIKES AND DROPS, ALSO CONTROL MONEY SUPPLY. THEY ALSO REMEMBER IT WAS A POLITITION WHO CAUSED THE GREAT DEPRESSION AND WHY ECONOMISTS ARE ALWAYS SAYING, EVERYTHING A POLITITION TOUCHES THEY SCREW UP. THE TWO HAVE SEEN HERD MANTALITY FOLLOW THE WORD OF ONE POLITITION AND GIVE UP THERE FREE WILL AND THE RIGHT TO CHOOSE. THE FRIENDS WERE NOT GOING TO GIVE UP THAT RIGHT AND FACT CHECKED EVERYTHING THAT CAME OUT OF A POLITITIONS MOUTH. THEY BOTH KNEW WHEN THEY CAME INTO THIS WORLD WAS TO MAKE IT A BETTER PLACE BEFORE THEY LEFT. THINGS STARTED TO MAKE SENCE AND FALL INTO PLACE WHEN THEY LISTENED TO THE ADVICE GIVEN TO THEM BY INVESTORS THEY BOTH KNEW. THEY HEARD TERMS LIKE WHO EVER RAN THE FEDERAL RESEARVE WAS THERE PRESIDENT AND TEN PERCENT OF YOUR INCOME IS NOT YOURS, IT SHOULD GO TO CHARITY. SO THIS IS HOW INVESTORS TRY TO IMPROVE THE WORLD OUTLOOK. THE TWO FRIENDS KNEW THE ECONOMY FLUCTUATES AND COULD TURN AGAINST THEM AT ANY TIME. THEY KNEW THEY SHOULD TAKE ACTION SO THEY COULD GET THROUGH BAD FINANCIAL TIMES. THEY BOTH CREATED THERE OWN PERSONAL BUDJET AND STUCK TO FILLING THERE NEEDS AND NOT THERE WANTS. THEY ALSO KNEW THEY HAD TO BUILD THERE ECONIMY TO WORK IN THE FUTURE AND SECURE THEM SELVES FOR WHEN THEY REACHED RETIREMENT. THEY JOINED THE 401K PLAN AT WORK, OPENED AN INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNT, OPENED A HEALTH SAVINGS ACCOUNT. THEY DID ALL THAT THEY COULD TO ENSURE A SMOOTH RETIREMENT FUTURE. AS THEY WORKED TOWARDS THERE FUTURE THEY EDUCATED THEMSELVES ON HOW TO INVEST. THIS WOULD HELP THEM IN THE SHORT TERM AND LONG TERM, TO PURCHASE A CAR OR A HOUSE AND EVEN RAISE A FAMILY. THEY WERE NOW SECURING THERE FINANCIAL FUTURE. THIS WOULD REQUIRE DOUBLE CHECKING ALL FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT INVESTING. NOW THEY WERE PUTTING THERE MONEY WERE THERE MOUTH WAS, MISINFORMATION OR INFLATED INFORMATION WOULD BE VERY COSTLY. THIS WOULD

REQUIRE EDUCATING THEMSELVES BEFORE COMMITTING ANY MONEY TO ANY TYPE OF INVESTMENT. GROWING UP LEARNING TO FACT CHECK ALL THAT THEY HEARD WOULD COME INTO PLAY NOW AT THIS TIME OF THEIR LIVES, THEY WERE READY AND TRAINED THEMSELVES JUST FOR THIS TYPE OF OPPORTUNITY. BY PUTTING EVERYTHING THEY LEARNED OVER THEIR LIFETIME, THEIR ODDS OF SUCCESS HAD GREATLY INCREASED. THEY CONTROLLED THE CHOICES THAT HAD TO BE MADE AND DID NOT HAVE TO GIVE UP THEIR FREE WILL IN THE PROCESS. AS LONG AS THEY COMPENSATED FOR ALL OR MOST OF THE THINGS THAT COULD GO WRONG, THEY GAVE THEMSELVES A FIGHTING CHANCE. AS THE TWO GOT OLDER, A SUBJECT WOULD COME UP THAT COULD DIVIDE THEM. THEIR POLITICAL VIEWS WOULD INFLUENCE ALL THE DECISIONS THEY MADE. IF THEY DID NOT GET A HANDLE ON THIS, IT COULD DESTROY A GOOD FRIENDSHIP AND RELATIONSHIP THAT THEY BUILT OVER THE YEARS. WHEN IT CAME TO POLITICS THEY KNEW IT WAS LIKE TWO PEOPLE READING THE SAME BOOK AND COMING OUT OF IT WITH TWO COMPLETELY DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES ON WHAT THEY READ. THERE WERE TWO MAJOR PARTIES IN THE COUNTRY, BUT A THIRD PARTY WAS STARTING TO EMERGE AND GAIN TRACTION. THE INDEPENDENT PARTY LOOKED LIKE SOMETHING THEY COULD BOTH GET BEHIND. IT DID NOT TAKE AWAY THEIR RIGHT TO CHOOSE, OR PUT THEM INTO A HERD MENTALITY. THEY STARTED GETTING THEIR NEWS SOURCE FROM BIPARTISAN SOURCES THAT GAVE BOTH POINTS OF VIEW. NOW THEY COULD MAKE A DECISIVE DECISION ON ANY SUBJECT MATTER THAT WAS PRESENTED TO THEM WITHOUT GIVING UP CHOICE.

BY USING THIS PROCESS THEY KNEW FROM RESEARCH THAT MOST POLITICIANS HAVE A LOW APPROVAL RATING AMONG THE GENERAL PUBLIC. JUST BECAUSE HE WAS GOOD FOR THE PARTY HE REPRESENTED DID NOT MEAN HE WAS GOOD FOR THE COUNTRY. NOT ALL POLITITIONS MET THIS CRITERIA, BUT MOST DID. THEY WERE ABLE TO SEE SOME POLITITIONS WOULD SAY ONE THING AND THEN DO ANOTHER. JUST AS THE MEDIA PRAYED UPON THE PUBLIC BY USING FEAR TO GET RATINGS, POLITITIONS USED FEAR TO GET VOTES. THE TWO REMEMBERED HOW INSURANCE AGENTS KEEP BRINGING UP WORSE CASE SCENARIOS TO GET YOU TO BUY MORE INSURANCE THAN YOU NEED. THIS TACTIC IS USED BY THE MEDIA AND POLITITIONS FOR YOUR VOTE AND VIEWERSHIP. THE ONLY WAY TO FIGHT THIS TYPE OF TACTIC WAS ON HOW THEY RECEIVED THERE INFORMATION. THIS WOULD HELP PREVENT FALLING INTO THE HERD MENTALITY MOST PEOPLE FALL FOR. THEY SAW THIS TYPE OF MENTALITY WHEN THEY BECAME INVESTORS AND SAW THE DAMAGE IT COST TO THERE FINANCES. THE RULE THEY FOLLOWED THERE ALSO APPLIED HERE. THE MARKET HAS THREE TYPES OF ANIMALS IN IT, BULLS, BEARS AND SHEEP, BULLS LONG THE MARKET, BEARS SHORT THE MARKET AND SHEEP JUST GET SLAUGHTERED. SO BEING A SHEEP WAS NOT THE DIRECTION IN LIFE THAT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO THEM. THE FRIENDS VIEWS WERE NOT EXACTLY THE SAME ON ALL SUBJECTS, BUT NEITHER WOULD THEY GIVE UP THERE FREE WILL AND BECOME PART OF A HERD MENTALITY.

AT TIMES THINGS DID COME UP WERE THERE VISIONS OF A SUBJECT WAS DIFFERENT. BUT NOW THEY COULD LOOK AT BOTH POINTS OF VIEW TO COME UP WITH A FAIR SOLUTION TO AN ISSUE. ONE THING THEY BOTH NOTICED WAS THAT THEY VOTED THESE POLITICIANS IN, PAID THEIR SALARIES THROUGH TAXATION. AND THE ONLY JOB THESE POLITICIANS WERE SUPPOSED TO DO IS SOLVE THE BIG PROBLEMS THAT AROSE SO IT WAS FAIR TO ALL OR MOST OF THE CITIZENS THEY REPRESENTED. INSTEAD WHAT THEY GOT WAS POLITICAL GRID LOCK, BOTH PARTIES VOTED ON PARTY LINES, AND DID EVERYTHING TO PREVENT THE OTHER SIDE FROM GETTING CREDIT FOR AN IDEA THAT WOULD BENEFIT MOST, IF NOT ALL CITIZENS. THE SAME ISSUES WOULD COME UP EVERY ELECTION, ABORTION, BUDGET, IMMIGRATION AND SO ON. THE SAME PROMISES WERE MADE AND BROKEN JUST BECAUSE OF PARTY LINES. IT WOULD NEVER CHANGE UNTILL THE PEOPLE ALL STOOD UP AND DEMANDED THINGS CHANGE. THE ONLY WAY THEY COULD SEE THIS CHANGE COME ABOUT IS MORE CITIZENS BECOME INDEPENDENT THEN THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM WOULD BECOME WEAKER AND THEN POLITICIANS WOULD HAVE NO CHOICE TO WORK TOGETHER TO PRODUCE RESULTS FOR THEIR CITIZENS. ITS FUNNY HOW YOUR PAYING SOMEBODY TO DO THEIR JOB AND THEY DO EVERYTHING BUT THEIR JOB. PEOPLE WOULD NOT PUT UP WITH THIS IN THE BUSINESS WORLD, THEY WOULD HAND THEM THEIR WALKING PAPERS. BUT YET PEOPLE PUT UP WITH THIS YEAR IN AND YEAR OUT WITH THEIR ELECTED OFFICIALS. AND WHEN THEIR APPROACHED ON THEIR FAILURE TO PERFORM THEIR JOB, THEY JUST POINT FINGERS AND BLAME SOMEONE ELSE OR JUST TRY TO REDIRECT THE PEOPLE AWAY FROM THE ISSUES THEIR SUPPOSED TO BE ADDRESSING TO SOMETHING ELSE.

POLITITION ALWAYS SEEM TO FIND A CERTAIN GROUP OF PEOPLE AND SAY THERE DOING WRONG TO DEVERT FROM THE REAL ISSUES. EVEN THOUGH BOTH FRIENDS PERSONNALLYKNOW PEOPLE IN THESE GROUPS AND FIND THEM TO BE UPSTANDING AND PAY THE SAME TAXES AS THEY DO AND ARE ENTITLED TO THE SAME RIGHTS. CERTAIN POLITITIONS ATTACK THESE GROUPS BASED ON THERE RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, SEX OR SEXUAL ORIENTATION, EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS AS EVERYONE ELSE, JUST TO STIR UP THE HERD MANTALLITY. BOTH FRIENDS KNOW PEOPLE WHO FIT INTO THESE GROUPS AND KNOW THERE NOT BAD PEOPLE BECAUSE THEY SOCIALIZE WITH THEM,WORK WITH THEM, CONDUCT BUSINESS WITH THEM AND EVEN LIVE WITH THEM.WHY SHOULD THESE PEOPLE BE TREATED ANY DIFFERENT THEN THEMSELVES. DOES THIS NOT MAKE THEM A SECOND CLASS CITIZEN. IT ALL GOES BACK TO CREATING FEAR TO TAKE YOUR ATTENTION OFF THE REAL ISSUES THAT ARE NOT GETTING ADDRESSED.ARE THESE POLITITION NOT TAKING AWAY THERE FREE WILL THAT IS GRANTED TO ALL. IF POLITITION ARE SUCCESSFUL IN DEVERTING PEOPLE AWAY FROM THE REAL ISSUES AND GAIN IN CHANGING HERD MANTALLITY, AND THEN DOES FREE WILL CONTINUE TO EXIST. JUST LIKE IMMIGRATION REFORM, THERE IS A DEBATE ON THIS SUBJECT EVERY ELECTION AND THE ISSUE IS JUST KICKED DOWN THE ROAD. IN A COUNTRY WERE MOST OF THE COUNRTY IS DERIVED FROM IMMIGRANTS, NEW ARRIVALS ARE TREATED LIKE TRASH. THESE IMMIGRANTS MAY BE SLEEPING ON THE STREET, RECEIVING LOW WAGES AND SO ON , BUT IT IS STILL BETTER THAN WERE THEY CAME FROM. WHETHER THEY CAME IN LEGALLY OR ILLEGALLY, THIS IS UP FOR DEBATE. BUT THE PEOPLE WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE TO FIX THIS ISSUE SEEM TO BE OUT TO LUNCH. THESE IMMAGRANTS ARE LOOKING FOR A BETTER LIFE FOR THEMSELVES AND THERE FAMILY, SO WERE IS IT WRITTEN THAT WE SHOULD TREAT OUR FELLOW HUMANS LIKE TRASH BECAUSE THERE TRYING TO REACH THE SAME GOALS AS THE REST OF US.

AS TIME CONTINUES TO MOVE ON THE TWO FRIENDS ARE REMINDED OF A MOVIE THEY BOTH WATCHED WHEN THEY WERE KIDS. THE MOVIE MOSES AND THE TEN COMMANDMENTS COMES TO MIND, AND INSTEAD OF FALSE PROPHETS, THERE REPLACED BY POLITICIANS. WHEN MOSES LEADED THE PEOPLE TO THE MOUNTAINS TO HEAR AND SEE THE RULE OF GOD, HE GOES INTO THE MOUNTAIN ONLY TO RETURN TO SEE THE PEOPLE HE LEAD IDOLIZING GOLD STATUES AND FOLLOWING FALSE PROPHETS. THIS BROUGHT THE TWO FRIENDS BACK TO AN EARLIER PLEDGE THEY MADE WHEN THEY WERE YOUNG, WHEN SET INTO A QUESTIONABLE SITUATION, ASK YOURSELF WHAT WOULD GOD DO. NEITHER FRIEND WAS REALLY RELIGIOUS, THEY DID NOT GO TO CHURCH ON A REGULAR BASIS, PREACH THE WORD OF GOD, BUT WHAT THEY DID KNOW WAS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN RIGHT AND WRONG. AND WHEN THEY SAW A WRONG BEING COMMITTED THEY GOT INVOLVED TO TRY TO CORRECT IT. RELIGION WAS NOT AN ISSUE WITH THE TWO FRIENDS BECAUSE THEY VIEWED IT IN A DIFFERENT LIGHT THAN MOST, EITHER YOU BELIEVE IN GOD OR YOU DON'T. IT DID NOT MATTER HOW YOU PRACTICED YOUR RELIGION, JUST AS LONG AS YOU BELIEVED OR NOT. THEY DID NOTICE THAT PEOPLE WHO DID BELIEVE IN GOD ALSO BELIEVED IN THEMSELVES, AND LEAD FULLER LIVES. AND THEY ALSO KNEW THERE WERE PEOPLE OUT THERE THAT WOULD TRY TO DIVIDE THEM. PREACHERS, POLITICIANS AND EVEN SOME RELIGIOUS GROUPS WOULD TRY TO TAKE PEOPLES RIGHTS AWAY AND TRY TO HIDE BEHIND THE WORD OF GOD AS THEY SAW IT FIT INTO THEIR OBJECTIVES TO DEPRIVE SOMEONE OF THEIR FREE WILL. THEY WOULD MISINTERPRET RELIGIOUS WRITING TO ACHIEVE THEIR PURPOSE.

AND IN THE PROCESS TRY TO CREATE A HERD MANTALITY TO JUSTIFY WHAT THEY WERE PREACHING. THIS HAPPENED WHEN MOSES CAME DOWN FROM THE MOUNTAIN AND IT STILL EXISTS TODAY. A FALSE PROPHET IS STILL A FALSE PROPHET, THEY ONLY NEED A FEW TO HELP SPREAD THERE LIES. THEY BOTH KNEW GOOD AND EVIL DOES EXIST, AND THAT THEY SHOULD ALWAYS KEEP THERE GUARD UP WHEN APPROUCHED IN THESE SITUATIONS AND QUESTION IT.KNOWING THESE SITUATIONS ONLY LEAD TO GREED AND POWER, GREED KILLS AND POWER ONLY LEADS TO REMOVING FREE WILL AND CHOICE. ALSO THEY REALIZED THAT NOT JUST ONE MAN OR GROUP CAN HEAL ALL PROBLEMS FACING THE WORLD. THIS TYPE OF THINKING COULD BE DAMAGING TO THERE FUTURE AND EVEN THERE EXISTANCE. THEY BOTH DECIDED IT WAS NOT WORTH GIVING UP THERE FREE WILL OR RIGHT TO CHOOSE JUST TO BE PART OF A HERD. AND THAT EVERY HUMAN HAS THAT CHOICE, THEY JUST HAVE TO EXERCISE IT. BOTH FRIENDS DID WONDER WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO THESE PEOPLE WITH HERD MANTALLITY THAT FOLLOWED THESE FALSE PROPHETS, KNOWINGLY BELIEVING THE FALSE HOOD THEY WERE BEING HANDED. WHAT HAPPENS IF SCRIPTURE IS TRUE THAT AN ANTI-CHRIST WILL COME, WILL THIS PART OF THE POPULATION BE WIPED OUT. WHAT BOTH FRIENDS REALIZED IS THAT THEY DON'T STAND A CHACE BECAUSE THEY GAVE UP THERE FREE WIIL AND RIGHT TO CHOOSE. WHAT WAS MORE IMPORTANT , LOOSING THERE LIFE OR LOOSING THERE SOUL. BOTH FRIENDS KNEW THAT WAS NOT A CHOICE THEY WANTED MAKE.

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

