In the modern home we arrange furniture around to allow the TV to be the centre of our lives. Wherever anyone sits, that person must have a view of the TV because we live for what the TV brings. But when doing that we miss what life brings. We miss the reason why we are born. We discard our birthright for the sake of watching TV.

On the farm when my boys were little I used to take them outside to show them the stars and the galactica and the beauty of what we had in the desert where I used to farm. We never had money but we had a night sky that was a picture painted in three dimensions. I showed them the night sky but I showed them also the reason why they were born from a physics and mathematical standpoint.

Now I wish to share that concept that I shared with my children with every person on earth. At least this is your birthright you should know about even when you discard it for cheap pleasures.

We now put the TV in the centre of our lives and then wonder why we became such easy to control mindless zombies that are told what to think and what to like, what to purchase and for whom to vote or not to vote all because we have "a free mind we use for thinking". No, we lost our free mind a long time ago and became frustrated slaves who live to think we can be pleased so that we can live to please those who wish for us to please them and who are our masters.

We became slaves of greed because we lost our roots but what are our roots? We have lost our ability to appreciate and exchanged that for consumerism and pleasure hunting.

Everyone nowadays act as if he or she forms the centre of the Universe. The reason why your focus places you in the centre of the Universe is because this concept made your intellect become the centre of your Universe. This was the cradle of intelligence. This was the incubator of human intellectual progress.

This is where animal died and human was born. This makes what is beast think like animals and makes man think like humans.

We gazed at a night, at what we couldn't eat and that set us apart from the rest that thought about eating only. We had a value that went beyond what

we could consume and for physical use. Looking at stars lift us into beings that had the ability to create and admire and not only use.

There is this question that has been nagging humans since humans saw a Universe in the sky. It was thought in the Ptolemaic Universe the earth was the centre but then "modern Science" rubbished this idea. If you read the website covering this book you will see that the centre of the Universe is even much closer to home than what that idea allows. Everything about physics and about mathematics and the cosmos cradles behind this idea and at present "modern science" has no clue where to look to find it. Be assured that without knowing where the Universe centre is, no one will understand how the Universe layout works.

However, as easy as it is to locate the centre of the Universe it is also true that the most intellectual, the most brilliant and the most accomplished minds were so far unable to locate the centre. So why would a simple sod like me find it? It might be just because I am a simple sod with a simple mind looking at everything in a simple manner. It also could be that I do not try to impress everyone by being clever but use my simplest mind in my favour. I keep it simple and everybody can understand except those trying to be clever.

Revealing Corrupt Science <u>website</u> <u>www.questionablescience.net</u> WRITTEN BY P. S. J. (Peet) Schutte

To purchase

XLIBRIS: https://www.xlibrispublishing.co.uk/bookstore/bookdisplay.aspx?bookid=304418

To Purchase or view in PDF

To go to the website that holds more information on this book go to http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/revealing-corrupt-science/13013414]

However, this is one part of the most complex issue you will ever come across. It is your choice to wonder into this idea as deep as you will because this idea takes you into how the Universe started before our Universe started. In other words it shows the Universe before the Universe was a visible entity.

It takes you into a region and a time when the Universe was a thought without space. It takes you into understanding physics because this concept presents the entirety of what physics and science can ever be and you can choose if you wish to know a little or a lot about what this concept

represents. It can be easy or it can be the most difficult thing that you ever came across and where you wish to leave is your choice.

Step outside into the night sky and the reason you are alive is in front of you. This is no cheap philosophy but this is true physics. Go outside look at the night sky from where light pollution did not starve starlight into obscurity and you will see how the entire creation is there to sparkle in your honour. Every sparkle of light coming from where ever is coming to you in your honour. Whatever you see puts the focus of the Universe on you. All the light that was released from any and all points in the Universe is coming to the place where you stand.

That makes you the most important person ever born because you are the **centre of the Universe**.

All light that left the point where the Universe started at that Big Bang will have all light flow back to that point where you are because that point where you are forms the **centre of the Universe**. You can go anywhere and you take **the centre of the Universe** along with you.

Think how strong that makes you, to be able to shift **the centre of the Universe.** As I said that is physics and if I am anything in physics I have to prove this aspect of nature mathematically. I realised I did not have to do it because Kepler did it before Newton raped Kepler's work.

I only followed where Johannes Kepler left off and repaired what Newton in foolishness destroyed. It is our birthright to think we have a position in the **centre of the Universe** because that you have! However, as simple as this may be from this point on it becomes complicated. This is the gateway to understand Creation from how it formed to how it ends. Going through this gate of being the centre we must read what that means in mathematics. The centre of the Universe is your birthright but to be that is your responsibility.

If there was one point where the Universe started as Einstein proved then the entire Universe will put all focus on that point. I discovered that point because I went about researching nature and using how nature applies the Universal laws I came to discover where the centre of the Universe is. The principle is simple and it involves where the Universe started.

The Universe started where singularity is. Therefore we have to locate a point where the Universe started. If I embroider on this idea in this small space it will seem to be exceptionally complicated but when explained correctly it is so simple an answer it is laughable although the point I show is very much true. It is so simple that being as simple as I am I could see it.

The entire Universe is light and that is why we can focus on one image with lenses and with another lens view a picture as wide as we wish to see. However, what is out there is light either expanding or contracted but it is light we see.

We see darkness as light expanding thus moving away and the light we see is coming to us.

Have you as you sit reading this part at this minute sat back and gave a thought about the light enabling you to read? Such a thought brings to mind the most simplistic answer one can imagine. The light hits the page bounces from the page and contacts the lens of my eye where the lens conveys the photons becoming electricity to a part of the brain that translates the electricity to an understandable message and that makes one read. It is as simple as that! Ever gave a broader thought about light streaming across the night sky, coming from ends of the Universe we do not even realise it is there? Have you ever given it a thought of how big it is out there and how small we are down here and how far did the light we see travel to meet is where we stand?

I can't bring the entire concept to you because in the space of an article this notion passes by without ringing home the entire background of this. Whatever you believe, who ever you are, whatever your purpose is in life, whatever you think or may think about or what you may think of centres around this idea that you according to the entire Creation and everything that is forming a Universe as large a it is, while notwithstanding the size thereof holds you at the centre of everything.

This is not a gloated opinion by an arrogant and highly self-opinionated fool but that concept forms the basis of what is science in every aspect of what science can be. I would so much love to share with you the broader aspect and the way it forms your opinion without you wittingly embrace that opinion you have. In that light I so sincerely ask you to join me at my website and get the drift of this idea.

This is what we used to see the entirety that we call everything or the Universe. How do the photons manage to convey one complete picture coming from as far apart and as wide an area as it does? With a few photons connecting the eye or lens no one ever noticed the wonder of light. The photons reflect a view that seems as if coming from all the billions upon billions of stars. But mostly it is coming from darkness covering an area no man can measure. Yet how many photons can actually connect to the lens of the camera or to the eye? A photon is so small science this far was unable to measure it or determine the dimensions and yet it is so big I use it to see the entire Universe made of countless photons.

Light is the source that formed our culture. If we were not able to see the sky we never would have formed intellect or culture. It is good and well to couple everything to fire and cooking food but that did not bring us to embrace intellect. What formed our intellect is when we formed speech and that was when we had a meal and then formed a society sitting around glowing coals. That is when speech developed, but looking at the basis of all cultures in antiquity, the wisdom was locked in the manner that the culture developed along the lines of the studies of star formations. We are intellectually what we are because we gazed at stars every night and being the only species that studied stars we are the only species that can read and write. From the earliest civilisations we find a trail of human development running along with our knowledge of stars. Our development came as a result of our studying stars. In that the stars place us in the centre of the Universe we look at and that inform us.

It is the way we appreciated stars that made us develop beyond animals and brought us to have an intellectual group of people. Yet as far as I know I am the only one that asked AND answered the question as to how can we see what is out there in relation to what we have. Man has been living with light from before man became intelligent if man ever became intelligent and yet we have the most small-minded approach to the most complex issue man could ever devise: how can we see all the space through something as small as the space of an eye? Space is never ending and so is our view thereof looking through an eye so small.

Read more about the book by clicking on this link.

http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/introducing-revealing-corrupt-science/13451686] XLIBRIS: https://www.xlibrispublishing.co.uk/bookstore/bookdisplay.aspx?bookid=304418

REVEALING CORRUPT SCIENCE



When you read this book you will for the first time ever experience the explaining about why the Universe form cosmic matter in this manner.

P.S.J. (Peet) Schutte

This book is available from Xlibris as **Revealing Corrupt Science** https://www.xlibrispublishing.co.uk/bookstore/bookdisplay.aspx?bookid=304418 @ £ 3.99 or \$ 6.50



This picture shows the Milky Way, the galactica we are a part of. The sun is so small that it would not even feature as a dot within all the vastness of its space. Some time back this was what people saw as the entire Universe but now the Universe has become much bigger because we can see more cosmos than we could see a thousand years ago. With the sun so small, where does a person such as I fit in this? Just think of the space such a

picture holds.




Even in a "close up" picture of a view of a part of the cosmos the volume of space we see overshadow our human mental capacity. Any expert will know to try and put this vastness of space in terms of numbers we can understand is beyond achievement. This is a vastness only the simple minded will think to travel through


and end up "on the other side". However, this is micro-miniscule compared to what's out there and what we are able to see when looking at night. Science is not so much about what you know because for that science will always be far too complex.

If you are a South African, New Zealander, Australian, even being a Brit or Irish you would know a rugby field because me being an Afrikaans speaking South African know only one sport and that is rugby.

When I still was teaching back in the eighties and nineties I used a rugby field to explain the size of the atom inside and the atom and the solar system has the same dimensional layout laws applying.




In order to teach the children the dimensional perception of an atom on the inside I use to take the class down to the rugby fields as part of my tutoring.




I used to put the sun as big as a soccer ball on the centre line and then a cut off pinhead on the dead ball line. It was impossible to see the pinhead.

Then I took the children up to the pavilion and tell them to look at the nucleus or the start of the solar system with the sun and mercury displayed in ratio. The soccer ball and the pinhead, which they couldn't see was the structures and the grass was unoccupied space. Then I told them to look at the ratio.

This gave them the idea of material forming space within space.




To go bigger I followed the next procedure: Then I would take the ball to one of the dead ball lines and place the soccer ball to represent the sun's position Then I put one small item such as the pinhead on the centre line to represent mercury and another green pea at that dead ball line representing Venus to show the size and allocation of space distribution within the atom and the solar system. This brought about more or less comparable sizes.



After this I would put one small item such as a peanut on the centre line of another rugby field as to show the location of the earth at the centre line of the next rugby field and this third one on the next centre line of the next rugby field represented the allocation of the earth. That put the earth in place.

I then would take the class to another high vantage point from where I would show this as an example of how space and material form within the Universe. This brought a lasting impression to the children and they would never forget the example layout of the distribution of material within space within the Universe and the atom. That is why I now present you with this scenario.



Now add another rugby field and at the other end of the field at the dead ball line you put down a very small grain of wheat and you have yourself the solar system showing the first four inner planets. From this point on the space really begins to add and I have a picture to show. Presenting this picture should show the misrepresentation we have of space only within our solar system.

This is what this book reveals for the first time in human history, it proves the law locating planets being the **Titius Bode law.** The **Titius Bode law** is the law showing the existence of relations between the mean distances of the planets from the sun to form the measured value of Π and that is what I prove and that is how I prove gravity is Π .

Mass plays no role in allocating planets or where the positions of the planets are.

The positional numbers:

0 3 6 12 24 48 96 192 384

With the exception of the first two, the others are simply twice the value of the preceding number.

By using the first three planets I prove this forms the value of Π and Π repeating.

Add 4 to each number:

4 7 10 16 28 52 100 196 388

Then divide by 10:

0.4 0.7 1.0 1.6 2.8 5.2 10.0 19.6 38.8

The resulting sequence is very close to the distribution of mean distances of the planets from the Sun:

Body	Actual (A.U.)	distance Bod td="	e's ">	Law <a.u.)<< th=""></a.u.)<<>
Mercury	0.39	0.4		
Venus	0.72	0.7		
Earth	1.00	1.0		
Mars	1.52	1.6		
		2.8		
Jupiter	5.20	5.2		
Saturn	9.54	10.0)	
Uranus	19.19	19.6	6	

In the Titius Bode law mass plays no role in allocating planet positions.

This would represent the relative distance every planet has notwithstanding mass.

If this the sun this would be the relative distance each planet would be from the sun.



Mars

Every time this ratio between planets doubles and doubles again and doubles again...



Remember I use arrows but the doubling is not in distance but the ratio doubles and from that I use that information to prove gravity forms by Π . Every attempt I made this far contacting more than two thousand Universities an Numerous journals all failed except once in a while I get a good brush off from our Super-Educated wizards that tell physics what it is without allowing nature to tell those Brainy Bunch what physics is. How mindlessly clever they are to teach nature what nature has to be. That is

why they are atheists. They are atheists because they think they teach God Almighty what the Universe is without having the brains to understand what the Universe is! They come together at a conference and in total stupidity design the Universe according to their image while they don't even know why the solar system forms the way it dose. I am the first in human history that can explain that and that I explain in

We were last forming a picture of space using a soccer ball and a few rugby fields with a pea, a peanut and a grain of wheat. This is where any multitude of rugby fields is unable to bring perspective because the human mind goes bunkers at this point.


Will this make sense to you?

Or Pluto yet. Pluto has the size of one mustard seed another fifty rugby fields away. From this manner of spacing that nature uses I prove that gravity forms by the value of pi going square to value gravity at pi square and that is true gravity. I do that mathematically but the simplicity is below them.



Go on and align the picture of the rugby fields with this picture portraying the solar system and see what true misrepresentation takes place. Also see without anybody ever mentioning this how the big planets are in the middle and the small planets are at either end. My question is would it not

be more prudent for the super wise Super educated Brains – mounted- onlegs to first sort out our solar system and the layout and get to terms with how our solar system functions before getting stuck into trying to find other "planets" in other "solar systems" All that rubbish is to deter focus away from what they don't know to where they say they do know.



You want to see brainwashing and mind control in action? Here, I will give you an example that you will prove yourself. Go on and explain mass and the allocating of planets in terms of the layout that this picture brings.

Every time you see a picture of the solar system you never question the fact that if mass was responsible for positioning the solar system why is the mass of planets totally at random. Why is mass scattered while the layout is so much clockwork it runs like the best-geared clock can never run. It runs in perfect alignment while every planet in every location is as randomly sizes as the next one and there are no possible ratios in size. How many times have you looked at such a picture and allowed this question to go by because you are brainwashed and mind controlled to the point you don't see this anomaly in front of you.

How many times have you read the explaining of the solar system in the manner I explained the Titius Bode layout in this opening part of the book.

The ask yourself why is Newton's misconception about mass so prominently quoted and the Titius Bode law is never mentioned in good company? Mass and Newton's bogus scheme about mass forming gravity has no role in the layout of planets or planetary motion and the formulas Newton gave to formulate the allocation of planets in terms of mass is as bogus as is the entire Newtonian idea. For the first time ever the Newtonian cover-up is blown to bits and science stand naked in facing the truth.

Just because I begin the articles that I send to Universities by saying I prove Newton is and was a fraud academics refuse to read my article I sent to the institution. For the first time in 300 years I bring truth to science. Therefore I reserve the right to call scientists criminals.

The following books on offer I wrote with every person in mind that holds any interest in nature, in the cosmos and in how God's creation formed. I tried to keep the price as low as possible because my share is small. My part of the profits or my royalty in a printed book selling for £72.00 is £1.19. The other book selling for £62.00 I receive £1.16. Everybody in the line-up is making a profit of significance except me that worked.

I did the research of almost forty years and applied the brainpower. Therefore do not even entertain a thought that this is about money going my way there is none. After the promotions and publishing is paid for I hope I will sell enough to keep me alive in the old age of my wife and I. This is the result of a lifetimes work.

I came to the mathematical conclusions as I did and formulate the conclusions.

I gathered the material over many decades of assembling and storing. I assembled the information into comprehensive units.

I wrote the book and that is in total material of tens of thousands of pages that I worked on working through forty years of self-studying.

I paid for the publishing, money I never had and this put my wife and me in financial ruins and my life quality in dyer straights.

I wrote the promotion of the book that you are reading which this is a small part. Many of the promotions I paid for did not pan out.

I pay for all the promotion out of my pocket also with money I don't have. Everything you read or going to read is from my brain and came about through many days and months and years of studies working day-and-night many times and many times for many months on end.

I make less than a dollar a book in e-book format because my percentage is small and I am at the very end of the line that profits. I am the last that gets paid. I have to sell about 18 000 books just to break even on what I pay for all the various promotional work and I am one of 65 million books on the market. The competition is overwhelming and my funding is nonexistent to precisely nothing. However, if I want my life to be worth the labour I have to find a way to do it and to find the manner and the means to get the truth out to the public. Science has it easy because they don't have to prove Newton.

I do all this for 1.5% of the total overall income. I do not do this for the money but I do this to get the message across and I try to keep the profit as low as I can to sell as many books as I can. The books will make money but long after my death.

The first four books are written so that everyone will understand the new science I introduce for the first time in human history.

There are four books on offer and each book targets intellectually a different person forming part of the entire intellectual basis in society. The following four are written to inform the general population without much emphasis on proof and more on informing how science works by implementing nature and moving away from fictitious science. The books are listed running from most informing to the fourth being most revealing.

Click on the name to go to the website that better informs:

Click on the link to go directly to the publisher to purchase the book

The Whistle-Blower on Corrupt Science

http://www.authorhouse.co.uk/Bookstore/BookSearchResults.aspx?Search=9781477227305 http://bookstore.authorhouse.com/AdvancedSearch/Default.aspx?SearchTerm=9781477227312

Published in PDF http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-whistle-blower-on-corrupt-science/13437789]Link to Lulu for PDF book

How to Swindle by Faking Science

http://www.authorhouse.co.uk/Bookstore/BookSearchResults.aspx?Search=9781477227572 http://bookstore.authorhouse.com/AdvancedSearch/Default.aspx?SearchTerm=9781477227589

PDF Published in PDF http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/how-to-swindle-by-faking-science/8026989

A Conspiracy in Science in Progress

http://bookstore.xlibris.com/Products/SKU-0304386017/A-Conspiracy-in-Science-in-Progress.aspx

Published in PDF http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-conspiracy-in-science-in-progress/8352010]

Revealing Corrupt Science

https://www.xlibrispublishing.co.uk/bookstore/bookdisplay.aspx?bookid=304418

Published in PDF http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/revealing-corrupt-science/13013414]

These books give answers about questions no one asks.

They raise questions and give answers but if you wish to have proof you should read the other six.

Do not expect to purchase a \$3.00 book that will give answers.

Do not Purchase a \$150 book if you can only understand a \$3.00 book

The \$3.00 book only opens your eyes to look and see what nature says are in the cosmos.

Reading the books named above will develop and enhance your science insight to a new level of understanding.

The following six inform by delivering proof and aim to satisfy and intellectually stimulate.

In every one of the above books I explain the solar system layout in accordance with nature forming the Titius Bode law

Science is much more about what you think when you investigate what you know and your intellect is what translates as a result of such a thoughtful action Science forms as a result of what you think about but science informs much more about you when reviewing what you don't think about and the give-away about your intellectual status and mind capacity is what there is about science you miss because your mind is too small. This book questions those you think of being intellectual scientists while they just fool you. They bluff you by pretending to be super intelligent and yet they miss the thinking part completely and go on not thinking about the most obvious that they miss.

The sun is in the solar system forming contraction. It implicates gravity as wide as can be... even wider than how mathematics can describe space. Some things mathematics is able to explain but other explaining goes beyond mathematics. Try to explain mathematically the colour of the sky being blue in a clear sunny day and changing to black when nighttime falls using the best math-equations presented by the human mind and you will fall on your face.

Do the explaining the range we can see in the visible colour spectrum in mathematics to a blind person that had no vision since birth in such perfect mathematical detail that would allow the person afterwards be able to explain the difference between blue and yellow to other blind persons by using only mathematics. Some aspects of the Universe go beyond mathematics and some even go beyond words. It is our task to find space, to find time and moreover it is our optimal task to find the Universe. We have to see what is solid, what is liquid and what causes gravity. Please keep this in mind because in this we find the Universe.

I took Human nature and science and combined the two, which gave me the vision on the findings Kepler received from the Cosmos. When using Kepler it puts all aspects of gravity in the Universe in new dimensions. Kepler's figures are not about space but it is the movement of space within space. If this might sound complicated it is not. It's material (space) turning in non-material (also pace). Visions formed the Universal beginning as the visions unleashed many new questions. If gravity is motion, what causes motion? What stops motion? That answer is in the Black Hole. In truth the explaining of the Black Hole is as complicated as the Universe may represent and as simple as the cosmos truly is. If a star is about fusing atoms and with such fusing of atoms is thereby growing, what happen when all the atoms fused into one all collective atom in one already all—

atom-accumulated star? What is the gravity if the star has melted all atoms it had into one all-inclusive atom and this all-inclusive atom is providing all the gravity that the star had when the star still had massive volumetric space?

If all that space that once filled an entire giant star fused into one specific space less centre holding singularity 1° then the enormous gravity is applying to the centre of such a non existing space-less atom and that entire enormous force has been secured in the space less than that which one atom holds. In that case the atom would then show a force that would pull the surrounding Universe flat. The purpose of fusion is to reduce space and magnify space less ness inside the sphere. Where does the gravity of the star end when all the atoms in the star became one giant atom by fusing all atoms into one nucleus? Gravity is smallest where space is least. Where space of an entire massive star is left in the size of one atom the gravity coming from that will pull the Universe flat at that point.

Newtonians have the opinion that it is energy that keeps the planets in rotation and the system is equal to the rotation one will find in Earth. There is one slight problem and that is that all the mass used in the calculation is not worth a penny in practise. In nature all the planets orbit in an equal ratio while in their opinion the mass is the key factor, which implicate all aspects of the energy requirements in the planet orbit.

Science gives you Newton and I give you nature. If you argue that I am wrong then you better prove that what I say is not what you find in nature. I am not part of any argument because I say what is in nature and on those grounds by sticking to nature I say Newton is falsified rubbish and bogus science. Prove me wrong by proving nature wrong or listen to what I say and get wise about the truth. You will not find Newton in nature and you will not find that Newton is even in the smallest detail part of nature. That is the truth and that is fact and whether you like it or not, Newton is one Universe apart from nature. In the Universe there are four phenomena that nature uses to form space as time develops but since these clash with Newton science in so many hundred of years were unable to understand or explain the four phenomena nature applies.

These phenomena that nature applies are the building bricks nature uses. These are what are in nature but because these disprove Newton, no it completely rubbishes Newton's science, those in charge of science would rather ignore these that are nature no less and the result is science

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- > Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

