A Short History of Women's Rights

From the Days of Augustus to the Present Time. With Special Reference to England and the United States

By Eugene A. Hecker

SECOND EDITION REVISED, WITH ADDITIONS

То

MY MOTHER

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

In this edition a chapter has been added, bringing down to date the record of the contest for equal suffrage. The summary on pages 175-235 is now largely obsolete; but it has been retained as instructive evidence of the rapid progress made during the last four years.

E.A.H.

CAMBRIDGE, MASS. August, 1914.

PREFACE

While making some researches in the evolution of women's rights, I was

impressed by the fact that no one had ever, as far as I could discover,

attempted to give a succinct account of the matter for English-speaking

nations. Indeed, I do not believe that any writer in any country has

essayed such a task except Laboulaye; and his Recherches sur la

Condition Civile et Politique des Femmes_, published in 1843, leaves

much to be desired to one who is interested in the subject to-day.

I have, therefore, made an effort to fill a lack. This purpose has been

strengthened as I have reflected on the great amount of confused

information which is absorbed by those who have no time to make

investigations for themselves. Accordingly, in order to present an

accurate historical review, I have cited my authorities for all

statements regarding which any question could be raised. This is

particularly so in the chapters which deal with the condition of women

under Roman Law, under the early Christian Church, and under Canon Law.

In all these instances I have gone directly to primary sources, have

investigated them myself, and have admitted no secondhand evidence. In

connection with Women's rights in England and in the United States I

have either consulted the statutes or studied the commentaries of

jurists, like Messrs. Pollock and Maitland, whose authority cannot be

doubted. To such I have given the exact references whenever they have

been used. In preparing the chapter on the progress of women's lights in

the United States I derived great assistance from the very exhaustive

History of Woman Suffrage, edited by Miss Susan B. Anthony, Mrs. Ida

H. Harper, and others to whose unselfish labours we are for ever

indebted. From their volumes I have drawn freely; but I have not given

each specific reference.

The tabulation of the laws of the several States which I have given

naturally cannot be entirely adequate, because the laws are being

changed constantly. It is often difficult to procure the latest revised

statutes. However, these laws are recent enough to illustrate the evolution of women's rights.

Finally, this volume was written in no hope that all readers would agree

with the author, who is zealous in his cause. His purpose will be gained

if he induces the reader to reflect for himself on the problem in the $\,$

light of its historical development.

E.A.H.

CAMBRIDGE, MASS., 1910.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

WOMEN'S RIGHTS UNDER ROMAN LAW, 27 B.C.-527 A.D.

Originally women were always under guardianship--But under the Empire

the entire equality of the sexes was recognised--Women in

marriage--Their power over their property--Divorce--Women engaged in all

business pursuits--Instances of women suing and pleading in

law--Partiality of the law towards women--Rights of inheritance--Rights

to higher education fully allowed--Provision made for poor children to

be educated--The Vestals--Female slaves--Remarkable growth of

humanitarianism towards slaves under the Empire--Sources

CHAPTER II

WOMEN AND THE EARLY CHRISTIAN CHURCH

Christ laid down ethical principles but not minute regulations--The

Apostles affected by Jewish and Oriental or Greek conceptions of

women--Examples of these--St. Paul and St. Peter on the position of

women--The Church Fathers elaborated these teachings--Examples of their

contempt for women--Mingled with admiration for
particular types of

women--Their views of marriage--Their strictures on unbecoming

dress--Summary of their views and how the status of
women was affected
by them--Sources

CHAPTER III

RIGHTS OF WOMEN AS MODIFIED BY THE CHRISTIAN EMPERORS

Old Roman Law not abrogated suddenly--Divorce--Adultery--Second

marriages--Engagements--Donations between husband and wife--Sundry

enactments on marriage--Inheritance--Guardianship--Bills of Attainder of

Christian Emperors merciless, in contrast to acts of pagan

predecessors--Sources

CHAPTER IV

WOMEN AMONG THE GERMANIC PEOPLES

A second world force to modify the status of women-Accounts of Caesar

and Tacitus on position of women among Germanic peoples--The written

laws of the barbarians--Guardianship--Marriage--Power of the

husband--Divorce--Adultery--The Church indulgent to kings--Remarriage--Property rights--Peculiarities of the criminal

law--Minutely-graded fines--Compurgation and ordeals--Innocence tested

by the woman walking over red-hot ploughshares--Women in slavery--Comparison of position of women under Roman and under Germanic

laws--Influence of theology--Sources

CHAPTER V

DIGRESSION ON THE LATER HISTORY OF ROMAN LAW

Explanation of the various social and political forces which affected

the position of women in the Middle Ages

CHAPTER VI

THE CANON LAW AND THE ATTITUDE OF THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH

Canon law reaffirms the subjection of women--Women and

marriage--Protection to women--Divorce--Cardinal Gibbons on protection

of injured wives by Popes--Catholic Church has no divorce--But it allows

fourteen reasons for declaring marriage null and void and leaving a

husband or wife free to remarry--Some of these explained--Diriment

impediments and dispensations--Historical instances of the Roman

Church's inconsistency--Attitude towards women at present day--Opinions

of Cardinals Gibbon and Moran, and Rev. David Barry and Rev. William $\,$

Humphrey--Sources

CHAPTER VII

WOMEN'S RIGHTS IN ENGLAND

Single women have always had private rights--But males preferred in

inheritance--Examples--Power of parents--Husband and wife--Wife

completely controlled by husband--He could beat her and own all her

property--Recent abrogation of the husband's power-Divorce--Jeremy

Taylor and others on duty of women to bear husband's sins with

meekness--Injustice of the present law of divorce--Rape and the age of

legal consent--Progress of the rights to an education--Women in the

professions--Woman suffrage--Sources

CHAPTER VIII

WOMEN'S RIGHTS IN THE UNITED STATES

Examples of the early opposition to women's rights--Age of

consent--Single women--History of agitation for women's

rights--Convention of 1848--Progress after the Civil War--Beginnings of

higher education--First women in medicine--And in law, the ministry,

journalism, and industry--Status of women in all the States in

1910--Sources

CHAPTER IX

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

The five arguments commonly used against equal suffrage-The

theological -- The physiological -- The social or political -- The

intellectual--The moral--Lecky on the nature of women-The old and the

new conception--Thomas on the power of custom--Taboo--

accompanied by some extravagance--Macaulay on liberty-The double

standard of morality--Co-operation--The proper sphere for a human

being--Discrepancies of wages--Legal evolution in the interpretation of

labour laws--The alarmist view of divorce

CHAPTER X

FURTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The rapid spread of suffrage throughout the world--Table of suffrage

gains from early times to present date--In national politics in the

United States--Attack on the suffrage parade and colloquy between Mr.

Hobson and Mr. Mann on the subject--Suffrage amendment defeated in the

Senate--Mr. Heflin's remarks in the House--Mr. Falconer replies--President Wilson refuses to take a stand--Amendment lost--Mr.

Bryan on suffrage--Examples of legislation to protect women passed

recently--The tendency is to complete equality of the sexes--Suffrage in

England--A delayed reform in divorce--Women's rights on the

Continent--Especially in Germany--Schopenhauer's views of women--Further

remarks on the philosophy of suffrage--"Woman's sphere"--Ultimate

results of women entering all businesses and professions--Feminism--The

home is not necessarily every woman's sphere and neither is motherhood

nor is it her congenital duty to make herself attractive to

men--Unreasonableness of gratuitous advice to women and none to

men--What we don't know--Fallacy of the argument that the fall of the

Roman Empire was due to the liberty given to woman--Official organs of various suffrage societies

INDEX

A Short History of Women's Rights

CHAPTER I

WOMEN'S RIGHTS UNDER ROMAN LAW, FROM AUGUSTUS TO JUSTINIAN--27 B.C. TO 527 A.D.

[Sidenote: Guardianship.]

The age of legal capability for the Roman woman was

after the twelfth

year, at which period she was permitted to make a
will.[1] However, she

was by no means allowed to do so entirely on her own account, but only

under supervision.[2] This superintendence was vested in the father or,

if he was dead, in a guardian[3]; if the woman was married, the power

belonged to the husband. The consent of such supervision, whether of

father, husband, or guardian, was essential, as Ulpian informs us,[4]

under these circumstances: if the woman entered into any legal action,

obligation, or civil contract; if she wished her freedwoman to cohabit

with another's slave; if she desired to free a slave; if she sold any

things _mancipi_, that is, such as estates on Italian soil, houses,

rights of road or aqueduct, slaves, and beasts of burden. Throughout her

life a woman was supposed to remain absolutely under the power[5] of

father, husband, or guardian, and to do nothing without their consent.

In ancient times, indeed, this authority was so great that the father

and husband could, after calling a family council, put the woman to

death without public trial.[6] The reason that women were so subjected

to guardianship was "on account of their unsteadiness of character,"[7]

"the weakness of the sex," and their "ignorance of legal matters." [8]

Under certain circumstances, however, women became _suiiuris_ or

entirely independent: I. By the birth of three children (a freedwoman by

four)[9]; II. By becoming a Vestal Virgin, of whom there were but

six[10]; III. By a formal emancipation, which took place rarely, and

then often only with a view of transferring the power from one quardian

to another.[11] Even when _sui iuris_ a woman could not acquire power

over any one, not even over her own children[12]; for these an agnate--a

male relative on the father's side--was appointed quardian, and the

mother was obliged to render him and her children an account of any

property which she had managed for them.[13] On the other hand, her

children were bound to support her.[14]

[Sidenote: Digression on the growth of respect for women]

So much for the laws on the subject. They seem rigorous enough, and in

early times were doubtless executed with strictness. A marked feature,

however, of the Roman character, a peculiarity which at once strikes the

student of their history as compared with that of the Greeks, was their

great respect for the home and the _materfamilias_. The stories of

Lucretia, Cloelia, Virginia, Cornelia, Arria, and the like, familiar to

every Roman schoolboy, must have raised greatly the esteem in which

women were held. As Rome became a world power, the Romans likewise grew

in breadth of view, in equity, and in tolerance. The political

influence wielded by women[15] was as great during the first three

centuries after Christ as it has ever been at any period of the world's

history; and the powers of a Livia, an Agrippina, a Plotina, did not

fail to show pointedly what a woman could do. In the early days of the

Republic women who touched wine were severely punished and male

relatives were accustomed solemnly to kiss them, if haply they might

discover the odour of drink on their breath.[16] Valerius Maximus tells

us that Egnatius Mecenas, a Roman knight, beat his wife to death for

drinking wine.[17] Cato the Censor (234-149 B.C.) dilated with joy on

the fact that a woman could be condemned to death by her husband for

adultery without a public trial, whereas men were allowed any number of

infidelities without censure.[18] The senator Metellus (131 B.C.)

lamented that Nature had made it necessary to have women.[19]

The boorish cynicism of a Cato and a Metellus--though it never expressed

the real feelings of the majority of Romans--gave way, however, under

the Empire to a generous expression of the equality of the sexes in the

realms of morality and of intellect. "I know what you may say," writes

Seneca to Marcia,[20] "'You have forgotten that you are consoling a

woman; you cite examples of fortitude on the part of men.' But who said

that Nature had acted scurvily with the characters of women and had

contracted their virtues into a narrow sphere? Equal force, believe me,

is possessed by them; equal capability for what is honorable, if they

so wish." The Emperor Marcus Aurelius gratefully recalls that from his

mother he learned piety and generosity, and to refrain not only from

doing ill, but even from thinking it, and simplicity of life, far

removed from the ostentatious display of wealth.[21] The passionate

attachment of men like Quintilian and Pliny to their wives exhibits an

equality based on love that would do honour to the most Christian

households.[22] All Roman historians speak with great admiration of the

many heroic deeds performed by women and are fond of citing conspicuous

examples of conjugal affection.[23] The masterly and sympathetic

delineation of Dido in the _Aeneid_ shows how deeply a Roman could

appreciate the character of a noble woman. In the numerous provisions

for the public education at the state's expense girls were given the

same opportunities and privileges as boys; there were five thousand boys

and girls educated by Trajan alone.[24]

[Sidenote: Decay of the power or the guardian.]

Such are a few examples of the growth of respect for women; and we

should naturally conclude that, as time progressed, the unjust laws of

guardianship would no longer be executed to the letter, even though the

hard statutes were not formally expunged. This was the case during the

first three centuries after Christ, as is patent from many sources. It

is to be borne in mind that because a law is on the books, does not mean

necessarily that it is enforced. A law is no stronger than public

opinion. Of this anomaly there are plenty of instances even to-day--the

Blue Laws of Massachusetts, for example. "That women of mature age

should be under guardianship," writes the great jurist Gaius[25] in the

second century, "seems to have no valid reason as foundation. For what

is commonly believed, to the effect that on account of unsteadiness of

character they are generally hoodwinked, and that,

therefore, it is

right for them to be governed by the authority of a guardian, seems

rather specious than true. As a matter of fact, women of mature age do

manage their own affairs, and in certain cases the guardian interposes

his authority as a mere formality; frequently, indeed, he is forced by

the supreme judge to lend his authority against his will." Ulpian, too,

hints at the really slight power of the guardian in his day, that is,

the first three decades of the third century. "In the case of male and

female wards under age, the guardians both manage their affairs and

interpose their authority; but in the case of mature women they merely

interpose their authority."[26] The woman had, in practice, become free

to manage her property as she wished; the function of the legal guardian

was simply to see to it that no one should attempt a fraud against her.

Adequately to observe the decay of the vassalage of women, we must

investigate the story of their rights in all its forms; and the position

of women in marriage will next occupy our attention.

[Sidenote: Women and marriage.]

As in all Southern countries where women mature early, the Roman girl

usually married young; twelve years were required by custom for her to

reach the marriageable age.[27] In the earlier period a woman was

acquired as wife in three different ways: I. By _coemptio_--a mock sale

to her husband[28]; II. By _confarreatio_--a solemn marriage with

peculiar sacred rites to qualify men and women and their children for

certain priesthoods[29]; III. By _usus_, or acquisition by prescription.

A woman became a man's legal wife by _usus_ if he had lived with her one

full year and if, during that time, she had not been absent from him for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

more than three successive nights.[30]

All these forms, however, had either been abolished by law or had fallen

into desuetude during the second century of our era, as is evident from

Gaius.[31] A man could marry even if not present personally; a woman

could not.[32] The woman's parents or guardians were accustomed to

arrange a match for her,[33] as they still do in many parts of Europe.

Yet the power of the father to coerce his daughter was limited. Her

consent was important. "A marriage cannot exist," remarks Paulus,

"unless all parties consent."[34] Julianus writes also that the daughter

must give her permission[35]; yet the statement of Ulpian which

immediately follows in the Digest shows that she had not complete free

will in the matter: "It is understood that she who does not oppose the

wishes of her father gives consent. But a daughter is allowed to object

only in case her father chooses for her a man of unworthy or disgraceful

character."[36] The son had an advantage here, because he could never be

forced into a marriage against his will.[37] The consent of the father

was always necessary for a valid marriage.[38] He could not by will

compel his daughter to marry a certain person.[39] After she was

married, he still retained power over her, unless she became independent

by the birth of three children; but this was largely to

protect her and

represent her in court against her husband if necessity should

arise.[40] A father was not permitted to break up a harmonious[41]

marriage; he could not get back his daughter's dowry without her

consent,[42] nor force her to return to her husband
after a divorce[43];

and he was punished with loss of citizenship if he made a match for a

widowed daughter before the legal time of mourning for her husband had

expired.[44] A daughter passed completely out of the power of her father

only if she became _sui iuris_ by the birth of three children or if she

became a Vestal, or again if she married a special priest of Jupiter

(_Flamen Dialis_), in which case, however, she passed completely into

the power of her husband. Under all circumstances a daughter must not

only show respect for her father, but also furnish him with the

necessaries of life if he needed them.[45]

[Sidenote: "Breach of Promise."]

Under the Empire no such thing as a "breach of promise" suit was

permitted, although in the days of the Republic the party who broke a

promise to marry had been liable to a suit for damages.[46] But this had

now disappeared, and either party could break off the betrothal at

pleasure without prejudice.[47] Whatever gifts had been given might be

demanded back.[48] The engagement had to be formally broken off before

either party could enter into marriage or betrothal with another;

otherwise he or she lost civil status.[49] While an engagement lasted,

the man could bring an action for damages against any one who insulted or injured his fiancée.[50]

[Sidenote: Husband and Wife.]

The Roman marriage was a purely civil contract based on consent.[51] The

definition given by the law was a noble one. "Marriage is the union of a

man and a woman and a partnership of all life; a mutual sharing of laws

human and divine."[52] The power of the husband over the wife was called

manus; and the wife stood in the same position as a daughter.[53] No

husband was allowed to have a concubine.[54] He was bound to support his

wife adequately, look out for her interests,[55] and strictly to avenge

any insult or injury offered her[56]; any abusive treatment of the wife

by the husband was punished by an action for damages[57]. A wife was

compelled by law to go into solemn mourning for a space of ten months

upon the death of a husband[58]. During the period of mourning she was

to abstain from social banquets, jewels, and crimson and white

garments[59]. If she did not do so, she lost civil status. The emperor

Gordian, in the year 238, remitted these laws so far as solemn clothing

and other external signs of mourning above enumerated were

concerned.[60] But a husband was not compelled to do any legal mourning

for the death of his wife.[61]

The wife was, as I have said, in the power of her husband. Originally,

no doubt, this power was absolute; the husband could even put his wife

to death without a public trial. But the world was

progressing, and that

during the first three centuries after Christ the power of the husband

was reduced in practice to absolute nullity I shall make clear in the

following pages. I shall, accordingly, first investigate the rights of

the wife over her dowry, that is, the right of managing her own property.

Even from earliest times it is clear that the wife had complete control

of her dowry. The henpecked husband who is afraid of offending his

wealthy wife is a not uncommon figure in the comedies of Plautus and

Terence; and Cato the Censor growled in his usual amiable manner at the

fact that wives even in his day controlled completely their own

property.[62] The attitude of the Roman law on the subject is clearly

expressed. "It is for the good of the state that women have their

dowries inviolate."[63] "The dowry is always and everywhere a chief

concern; for it is for the public good that dowries be retained for

women, since it is highly necessary that they be dowered in order to

bring forth offspring and replenish the state with children. [64] "It is

just that the income of the dowry belong to the husband; for inasmuch as

it is he who stands the burdens of the married state, it is fair that he

also acquire the interest."[65] "Nevertheless, the dowry belongs to the

woman, even though it is in the goods of the husband."[66] "A husband is

not permitted to alienate his wife's estate against her will."[67] A

wife could use her dowry during marriage to support herself, if

Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- > Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

