

## Relative Control and Management Philosophy

Che-Wei Chang

### 1. Introduction

Silicon wafers for the semiconductor industry are extremely complex materials with characteristics such as high purity levels, crystallographic perfection, precise mechanical tolerances, complicating efforts to effectively monitor process stability and control quality for individual product types. Material of silicon wafer can be doped with more than 12 kinds of dopants, such as B, C, N, Al, Si, Sb and others. Currently, the sizes of the firm's products are 4-, 5-, 6-, 8- and 12-inch. Considering dopants and sizes, and each kind of product has different attributes according to which, 7~12 minutes are required to slice a piece of wafer. About 2 minutes are required to inspect the quality of a piece of wafer. A wafer can be easily broken during inspection, because of its thinness and brittleness (Lin et al., 2002). Moreover, slicing is a kind of cutting technique that has difficulty in yielding the required precision. Three scenarios will incur damage on the work piece: (1) inaccurately estimating the precision of the slicing machine, (2) engineers set parameters and change the type of material and (3) inconsistently controlling the wafer quality by applying the sampling method owing to the small batch size of wafer slices in the production model.

Consequently, given unstable yields of synchronously multiple quality characteristics are unstable or drifting accuracy of wire saw machines, inspectors must consider employing machine control and monitoring measures. Five synchronously occurring precision quality characteristics, namely thickness (THK), bow, warp, total thickness variation (TTV), center thickness (CTRTHK) and total indicator reading (TIR) must be simultaneously inspected using automatic testing equipment (ASTM F534, 1995; ASTM F657, 1995; Takeshi, 1998). Those multiple quality characteristics destabilize the slicing. The case firm used quantitative methods, such as process capability indices (PCIs) and statistical process control (SPC) charts, are severely limited in monitoring slicing problems (Lin et al., 2002).

This chapter proposes relative control and management philosophy that in-

volving three stages to explore slicing problems and enhance slicing quality and process. The first stage, applies focus groups procedure that can explore an engineer's knowledge and expertise. Organizations can effectively use focus groups to create knowledge of stable processes, optimal settings and quality control. Interactive discussions indicate that the focus groups can enhance productivity and effectiveness of decision either by accelerating the decision process or by elevating the quality of the resulting decisions. Moreover, the proposed procedure allows an engineer to rapidly adjust a manufacturing system to eliminate related phenomenon and enhance slicing quality and process capability (Lin et al., 2004). The second stage, applies grey situation decision-making (GSDM) is used to screen the worst quality characteristic from the synchronously occurred multiple quality characteristics to monitor the process. Then the exponential weighted moving average (EWMA) control chart is presented to demonstrate and verify the feasibility and effectiveness of proposed discussions. The third stage, applies the Chinese philosophy of yin and yang to illustrate wafer slicing quality, and provides decision makers with philosophical thoughts for balancing the simultaneous consideration of various factors (Lin et al., 2005). Furthermore, to increase process yield and accurately forecast next wafer slice quality, grey forecasting is applied to constantly and closely monitor slicing machine drift and quality control.

## **2. Methodology**

### **2.1 Focus Groups**

Focus groups are discussion groups brought together to share perceptions on a defined area of interest to generate knowledge and hypotheses, opinions and attitudes to evaluate commercial ventures, ideas, or the assessment of needs is indispensable. Typically eight to twelve participants are conducted by a skilled moderator who introduces the topic and encourages the group to discuss the topic among themselves. Participants are experts on the topic, since the topic is what they think, feel, or do. A discussion guide directs the discussion through topics in an expected order. The moderator guides conversation gently through each topic until that part of the discussion has unproductive, and may return to later if reemerges in a different context. While allowing the moderator to probe and clarify implied or unclear meanings, this flexibility also allows participants to raise important issues and nuances, which research-

ers often do not foresee. Focus groups rely on the dynamics of group interaction to reveal participants' similarities and differences of opinion (Krueger and Casey, 2000; Morgan, 1997). Participants of relatively homogeneous focus groups have the opportunity to stimulate, support and build on each other's ideas on the topic. Consequently, focus groups reduce the chances of making errors in creating survey questions and, hence improve validity.

Group interaction, spontaneity and sincerity, peer support, descriptive depth, and the opportunity for unanticipated issues to arise - can effectively enable focus groups to create relevance to stabilize the slicing process, optimal settings and raising the slicing yield. Furthermore, this relatively non-threatening group setting is a cost-effective and efficient means of learning about and elucidating different processes unstable problems by confronting and overcoming difficulties in communication. Focus groups are used in this study to provide some insight into what experiential engineers and their professional knowledge to find slicing problems easier, particularly in terms of information and advice, and the reasons why.

## 2.2 Grey Situation Decision-Making and Its Algorithm

Grey situation decision-making (GSDM) provides a procedure to deal with one event that involves multiple situations in the same event and choose the best or the worst situation what they occur. The definition and algorithm of the method are as follows (Deng, 2003; Lin, et al., 2002).

**Definition 1.** Let  $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  be the sample screening events and  $b_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be the countermeasures of the multiple quality characteristics in the process. Then,  $a_i$  and  $b_j$ , are referred to as a combined event,  $S_{ij}$ , also called a "Situation" and represented as

$$S_{ij} = (a_i, b_j) \quad (1)$$

**Definition 2.** Evaluating a criterion for the effectiveness of multiple quality characteristics is called "Target".

**Definition 3.** If  $S_{ij} = (a_i, b_j)$  is a situation, then let  $p$  represent the number of

target. Using the countermeasure,  $b_j$ , which relates to the sample screening event,  $a_i$ , the effectiveness of  $a_i$  and  $b_j$ , is written as,  $E_{ij}^p$ . Let M be a mapping,  $M(E_{ij}^p) = R_{ij}^p$ , where  $R_{ij}^p$  is the value of the mapping between  $E_{ij}^p$  and  $E_{ij}^p$ , and is an element of  $E^p$ . Let  $X^+$  be positive space. If M satisfied, (1)  $M(E_{ij}^p) = R_{ij}^p \in R^p$  and  $R_{ij}^p \in [0, 1]$  and (2)  $R_{ij}^p \in X^+$ , then M can be called the mapping effectiveness measurement. The properties of M are as follows.

(1) The upper-bound effective measuring target of M is "higher-the-better." That is

$$R_{ij}^p = \frac{E_{ij}^p}{\max_i E_{ij}^p} \quad (2)$$

(2) The lower-bound effective measuring target of M is "lower-the-better." That is

$$R_{ij}^p = \frac{\min_i E_{ij}^p}{E_{ij}^p} \quad (3)$$

(3) The moderate effective measuring target of M is "target-is-the-best." That is

$$R_{ij}^p = \frac{\min_i \{E_{ij}^p, E_0^p\}}{\max_i \{E_{ij}^p, E_0^p\}} \quad (4)$$

Where

$$E_0^p = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ij}^p ;$$

$i$  is the index of sample, and  $j$  is the index of the countermeasure for quality characteristics.

**Definition 4.** Let the situation,  $S_{ij}$ , have a measuring target for  $n$  quality characteristics. If the mapping of  $E_{ij}^p$  is  $M(E_{ij}^p) = R_{ij}^p$ , then  $R_{ij}^1, R_{ij}^2, \dots, R_{ij}^n$  exist; therefore, the synthetic effective measuring of  $R_{ij}^p$  for one of the quality characteristics is,

$$R_{ij}^\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n R_{ij}^p \quad (5)$$

Consider  $n$  countermeasures,  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ , to deal with for the sample screening event,  $a_i$ . Associated mapping synthetic effective measuring vectors,  $R_i^\Sigma$ , exist and can be expressed as,

$$R_i^\Sigma = [R_{i1}^\Sigma, R_{i2}^\Sigma, \dots, R_{in}^\Sigma] \quad (6)$$

**Definition 5.** Let  $R_i^\Sigma$  be the synthetic effective measuring vector of  $a_i$ , expressed as,  $R_i^\Sigma = [R_{i1}^\Sigma, R_{i2}^\Sigma, \dots, R_{in}^\Sigma]$ . If  $R_{ij}^{\Sigma*}$  satisfies the following condition,

$${}_k R_{ij}^{\Sigma*} = \max_j {}_k R_{ij}^\Sigma, \quad j \in J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (7)$$

then  $S_{ij}^* = (a_i, b_j^*)$  is "satisfied situations";  $b_j^*$  is the satisfied countermeasure of the quality characteristic of sample screening event,  $a_i$ , and  $R_{ij}^{\Sigma*}$  is the best situation of the satisfied situation.

### 2.3 Chinese Philosophy – Relative Management and Control

Einstein (1920) and Laozi state that the world contains no absolutes. Laozi is one of the most influential philosophers during the past 2500 years of Chinese civilization, and in the US the New York Times once chose Laozi as one of the greatest authors of all time (Laozi and Roberts, 2004). Laozi's book, the Dao De Jing, which describes around 5000 Chinese characteristics, described all things

as originating from the “Way,” which is present within all things in the universe. Laozi saw all things as relative. Einstein is one of the most influential physicists in the 20th Century's greatest minds. In 1929, TIME noted in a cover story that “Albert Einstein's theories have altered human existence not at all.” In the relativity propounded by Einstein, everything is relative. Specifically, speed, mass, space and time are all subjective. Nor are age, motion or the movements of the planets capable of being objectively measured rather they are judged according to the whim of the observer.

Laozi's book (Laozi and Roberts, 2004), the *Dao De Jing*, based on the idea that the world contains no absolutes. Laozi saw all things as relative. Notably, management issues are also relative rather than absolute. Figure 1 displays a yin and yang symbol that has been modified to apply to main factors and noise factors. This chapter applies the concept of yin and yang to quality management. The main blocks of color on the yin and yang symbols represent the major effects of decision factors influencing slicing quality. Meanwhile, the small circles of opposite color represent the noise factors affecting decision factors.

The curve symbolizes the constant change in the balance between yin and yang. The above demonstrates the belief that there are no absolutes: nothing is ever entirely yin or yang, but rather a balance always exists between these two forces, just as with time cycles; that is, the process moves in a never-ending cycle characterized by “departing, becoming distant and returning.” Consequently, the law of yin and yang involves a balance between yin and yang and an integration of the positive and the negative, light and dark, hot and cold drives all change in the world and provides the life force in the universe. From a directional perspective, Laozi's philosophical thought is focused on balance and continual change. Yin and yang are dependent opposites that must maintain a constant balance.




Figure 1. Main Effect Factor and Noise Factor

Decision makers are constantly eager to reselect quality characteristics for accurately monitoring process quality without incurring additional costs. The question then arises of how to make appropriate decisions. Generally, based on cost, operability, productivity and benefit considerations, most decision makers ignore uncontrolled noise factors. However, identifying which control factor is the main effect factor and which are noise factors has always confused decision makers seeking to make appropriate decisions. Thus, this chapter applies the Chinese philosophy of yin and yang, to illustrate relative management issues, and provide decision makers with philosophical thoughts for balancing the simultaneous consideration of various factors.

### **3. Case Implementation**

The case firm divides departments according to by class of clean room. Process one is growing crystals. Moreover, process two includes slicing, edge grinding, lapping, backside treatment and etching. Process three includes wafer polishing, cleaning, packing and inspection. This chapter focuses on slicing, and thus more participants come from the process two departments than from other departments. In relative control and management philosophy procedure, three stages and steps of process are suggested. Figure 2 illustrates implement procedure of relative control and management philosophy.

#### **3.1 Focus Groups Processes**

Six steps are proposed for the focus groups processes. Figure 2 of stage 1 illustrates the focus groups implementation procedure.

##### **Step 1.1:** Specify the research and define the purpose

Focus groups require a clear, specific statement of purpose to develop appropriate questions and obtain the useful information. Statements of purpose that are broad and general create difficulty in identifying potential participants, developing questions, and obtaining useful results.

##### **Step 1.2:** Determine focus group participants

Generally, focus group participants must meet two criteria:

1. they must have the process experience required by the research goals, and
2. they must be able to communicate this experience to the group.

This study required all participants to have process management experience and process quality control experience. The practical need to provide adequate communication among participants, the moderator, and project consultants made this requirement crucial. Four focus groups of 15 persons were conducted to identify the factors that influenced silicon quality and the process capability.

**Focus group 1:**

administrative department, four managers participating that include the general manager, department one manager, department two manager and department three manager.

**Focus group 2:**

engineer group, four persons participating that include department two of the section chief and three engineers.

**Focus group 3:**

quality control department, with the manager and section chief participating.

**Focus group 4:**

consultant group, involving a process consultant, whose main responsibility was to solve process problems at the firm; and four project consultants participated, whose main responsibilities were to increase yield and process capability.

**Step 1.3:** Decide the moderator

The moderator can control the influences on the success of the focus group. Therefore, the main role of the moderator is to facilitate open, uninhibited dialogue. Thus, the moderator should play several roles that depend on sensitive balancing and an objective and detached perspective. Especially, a focus group moderator should deal tactfully with outspoken group members, maintain the focus of the discussions, and ensure that every participant gets the opportunity to contribute.



---

**Step 1.4:** Conduct the focus group and determine the method of data collection

The participants and moderator sat around a circle table. The discussions were recorded on tape and an assistant moderator took notes. The participants were asked to speak one at a time to ensure that all comments could be clearly heard on the tape. According, focus groups create knowledge of stable processes, optimal settings and quality control, all of which influence quality and process capability factors related to slicing problems. Auxiliary data sources include ISO documents, computer records and observations of behavior designed to help focus groups to make precise decisions.

**Step 1.5:** Discuss the topic of the slicing problems in the focus group

Slicing is an increasingly complex process. Effectively monitoring the individual product process stability and quality is difficult. However, when the yield of high quality wafers is unstable, or when the wire saw machine drifts, the inspector must carefully control and monitor the machine. Slicing is a kind of sawing that cannot easily yield the knife drift required of a wire knife. The work-piece can be damaged in three ways.

- (1) Frequency changes may adjust the precision of the slicing machine.
- (2) Controlling whole process quality by sampling is difficult, since production is in small batches. The crystal grown using the raw wafer material, such as a silicon ingot can be sliced into 250~300 pieces. Standard sampling requires only one or two wafers to be sampled to monitor and control slicing. Such a small number of samples cannot provide sufficient information to determine process quality.
- (3) Engineers set parameters and change the type of material, thus destabilizing the slicing.



Two topics are identified based on the above, including wire knife drift, abnormal work-piece impact yield following slicing, and the influence of parameters on PCIs:

- (1) Adjusting the wire knife drift influences sliced wafer quality  
This topic relates to the adjusting wire knife time and engineering procedures. Managing knowledge concerning the slicing knife can increase yield, quality and process capability.
- (2) Influence of parameters on process capability  
This topic concerns slicing machine precision and wire knife drift. The focus groups explore how parameter settings influence slicing stability.

**Step 1.6:** Verify the focus group discussions and results

To understand slicing problems of wire knife, defective yield, and parameters settings, all focus groups discussed how inspecting an entire ingot can require examining 284 wafer slices.

Adjusting wire knife drift influences slicing quality

(A) Analyzing Wire Knife

THK parameter was set to  $750 \pm 30(\mu)$  and the bow was  $\square 15(\mu)$ . Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the processing of 284 wafers, including THK and various bow values, and wire knife times. Figure 3 illustrates the thickness variation and the wire knife adjusted time, the average THK is  $760.222(\mu)$ , the yield is 0.87 and the wire knife is adjusted 44 times. The wire knife was adjusted once, and adjusted time appearance at the 14th, 18th and 29th sliced wafers, and so on. The wire knife was repeatedly adjusted, and adjust time appear at the sliced wafers of 72nd~75th, 126th ~132nd, 141st~143rd and 223rd~228th. Figure 4 reveals that the average bow is  $7.549(\mu)$ , while the standard deviation is  $12.78(\mu)$  and the yield is 0.83. Figure 4 shows that when executing the procedure of adjusted wire knife, the bow becomes extremely unstable and this unstable variation influences the following wafers.

Statistical data from Figure 4 reveals that engineers identified the following problems with adjusting the wire knife: (1) Adjusting the wire knife is appropriate, but the engineers do not perform the adjustment procedure, with a probability of 0.43; or (2) it is not yet time to adjust the wire knife but engineers

perform the adjustment procedure, with a probability of 0.20. The post-adjustment wafer yield is just 0.57, and the inspected machine gradually increases the process capability. Consequently, engineers should separate individual wafers from the preceding and following ones when adjusting the wire knife. Such separation reduces the likelihood of problems occurring in subsequent edge grinding and lapping processes. The focus groups concluded that two general causes exist for unstable slicing. When the machine appears unstable, engineers can stabilize the slicing by adjusting the wire knife. Moreover, if the wire knife undergoes considerable abrasion and engineers have adjusted the wire knife, the slicing becomes extremely unstable.

#### (B) Concluding the Results

The focus groups concluded that unstable wire knife causes poor bowing, and adjusting the wire knife cannot reduce the defect rate to below that of bow. Therefore, firm should focus on controlling bow quality. Slicing problems can be classified as either machine or engineer-related. These problems can be further subdivided into another two groups, namely machine-related problems and human-related problems.

Machine-related problems arise after long periods of continuous saw operation, and involve reduced machine precision impacting quality and yield. The saw machine must be periodically adjusted. Meanwhile, human-related problems typically relate to the wire knife procedures used, which are crucial to stabilizing slicing.

The wire knife is adjusted based on engineer experience, and no specific rules are followed. Inexperienced engineers are likely to make the THK too thick or too thin, meaning the anticipated results will not be obtained.

Engineer adjustments to the wire knife involve adjusting the pressure, angle and force. Engineers can use a wafer box with a different color to the color box used online to distinguish abnormal wafers and thus facilitate inspection. Hence, firms must standardize their procedures. Timely application of engineer expertise is critical in the slicing process. Every engineer must be educated and be knowledgeable regarding methods of increasing yield.

#### (2) Parameters that influence process capability

##### (A) Process Capability Analyze

Cpk is conventionally used to assess process capability and process variation




Figure 3. Inspecting an Entire Ingot of THK

Figure 4. Inspecting an Entire Ingot of Bow

In the semiconductor industry, and is defined as,

$$C_{pk} = \min \{ C_{pu}(U), C_{pl}(L) \} \quad (8)$$

where  $C_{pu}(U) = \frac{USL - \mu}{3\sigma}$ ,  $C_{pl}(L) = \frac{\mu - LSL}{3\sigma}$ ; USL denotes the upper specification limit and LSL is the lower specification limit;  $\mu$  represents the process mean, and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation under constant controlled conditions.

In the subject firm, the parameter settings include THK, bow, knife rotational speed and slicing speed. The Cpk value of THK and bow is set at 1.0. Table 1 lists the THK quality characteristic, using Eq. (8) to calculate the process capabilities Cpu, Cpl and Cpk,. Column 2 illustrates the parameter of THK,  $750 \pm 30(\mu)$ . Cpl = 1.128 is significantly higher than Cpu = 0.555 and Cpk = 0.555, demonstrating that slicing process capability is unstable. However, the average THK for slicing is  $760.222(\mu)$ ; that is the central line is increased by  $10.222(\mu)$ . Column 3 illustrates the parameter for THK assumption shifting  $10(\mu)$  to  $760(\mu)$ , and the Cpk index is recalculated as 0.738. Notably, CpU and CpL are stable. The right column reveals the defective yield after adjusting the wire knife, and the Cpk index is recalculated as 1.084, consistent with the standard process capability, Cpk = 1.0. Consequently, the groups suggest that engineers check the THK parameter setting and the wire knife vibration. Following any adjustment of the wire knife, engineers should perform wafer slicing before proceeding to the next process. Table 2 presents the bow quality characteristic process capabilities, Cpu, Cpl and Cpk,. The Cpk index for the slicing process capability is always unstable; the Cpk index for the slicing process capability is unstable. This finding relates to slicing quality yield and also influences edge grinding, lapping and polishing. The bow of PCI is lower than that of THK. The bow instability reduces lapping and polishing process yield.

## (B) Reaching Conclusions

The focus groups concluded that the causes of machine instability can be classified into three problem types, all machine related:

- (a) First, engineers can use the Taguchi method to modify parameter settings to raise process capability.

Parameter Setting Process Capability	Customer Target	Target Shift 10 $\mu$	Take of Defective
	750( $\mu$ )	760( $\mu$ )	Wafer
Upper PCI (CpU)	0.555	0.756	1.122
Lower PCI (CpL)	1.128	0.738	1.084
PCI (Cpk)	0.555	0.738	1.084

Table 1. PCIs Analysis THK Quality Characteristic

Parameter Setting Process Capability	Customer Target	Take of Defective
	$\square 15(\mu)$	Wafer
Upper PCI (CpU)	0.539	0.606
Lower PCI (CpL)	0.544	0.589
PCI (Cpk)	0.539	0.689

Table 2. PCIs Analysis Bow Quality Characteristic

(b) Second, the defect ratio increases with wafer size. Therefore, 8-inch wafers have a lower yield than 4-, 5-, and 6-inch wafers. Moreover, when slicing an 8-inch ingot, engineers must inspect and tightly control the wire knife for the bow to reduce abnormalities.

(c) Third, the wire knife life cycle severely influences process capability. Generally, a wire knife can slice 1000-1200 wafers. However, wire knives break easily. To prevent knife breakage, engineers can use a model to forecast knife life cycle. Extremely unstable bow value indicates that it is time to replace the old wire knife with a new one.

### (C) PCIs Verifying Analysis of 4-, 5-, 6-, and 8-inch Wafers

To verify the effectiveness of the new parameter settings, engineers monitor the slicing of 4-inch, 5-inch, 6-inch and 8-inch ingots. Table 3 lists the PCIs of the THK and bow quality characteristics. The 4-inch ingot has THK parameter setting of  $525 \pm 15(\mu)$  and bow parameter setting of  $\square 10(\mu)$ . The ingot can be sliced into 346 pieces. The PCI of the THK quality characteristic is 2.60 and the bow quality characteristic is 1.84. Table 3 confirms that the 5-inch, 6-inch and

8-inch ingots PCIs of the bow are lower than those of THK. Notably, the process capability reduces when slicing large wafers, namely, 8-inch wafers have lower PCIs than 4-, 5-, and 6-inch wafers. Especially the bow PCI = 0.83 for slicing an 8-inch ingot, which is below the customer value (PCIs = 1.0). Consequently, 8-inch ingot slicing should be carefully monitored and the bow tightly controlled to reduce abnormalities.

Quality Characteristic	Wafer Size		4-Inch		5-Inch		6-Inch		8-Inch	
	THK	Bow	THK	Bow	THK	Bow	THK	Bow	THK	Bow
Customer Specification (Unit: $\mu$ )	525 $\pm$ 15 $\square$ 10		625 $\pm$ 30 $\square$ 100		525 $\pm$ 20 $\square$ 40		853 $\pm$ 30 $\square$ 100			
Sample Size	346	346	334	334	181	181	334	334		
Cpk	2.60	1.84	1.64	1.46	1.40	1.01	1.07	0.83		

Table 3. PCIs Analysis THK and Bow Process Capability of 4-, 5-, 6-, and 8-inch Wafers

Consequently, decision makers must own enough wisdom to judge, supervise and evaluating trade-offs multiple decision problems. Typically, slicing problems can be divided into machine and engineer-related. A fishbone diagram derived from the preceding is illustrated in Figure 5. These problems can be further subdivided as follows.

(A) Four machine-related problem types.

- (1) The saw operates for a long time, and machine precision impacts quality and yield. The saw machine must be periodically adjusted.
- (2) Engineers can use quantity method, such as the parameter design of Taguchi methods to modify parameters setting to increase process capability.
- (3) When producing large size of wafer, the risk of defective yield increases. Therefore, 12-inch wafers have a lower yield than 4-, 5-, 6- and 8-inch wafers. Moreover, when slicing an 8-inch ingot, engineers must inspect and tightly control bow to reduce abnormalities.



- (4) The wire knife life cycle severely affects process capability. Generally, a knife can slice 1000-1200 wafers. The wire knife breaks easily. To prevent the knife's break, engineers can use a forecast model to predict the knife life cycle. If the bow value successive extremely unstable, then it is time to change a new wire knife.




Figure 5. Slicing Problems of Root Causes

(B) Three human-related problem types.

- (1) Usually, adjusting the wire knife procedures is the most important technique for stabilizing the slicing. The wire knife is adjusted according to an engineer's experience, and no rules are followed. If engineers have insufficient experiences, they are likely to make the THK too thick or too thin. The anticipated results will not be obtained. When an engineer adjusts the wire knife, he or she must adjust the pressure, angle and force. Engineers can use a different color wafer box from the online used color box to distinguish abnormal wafers for convenient inspection. Taguchi methods can solve problems of multi-response quality characteristics.

## Thank You for previewing this eBook

You can read the full version of this eBook in different formats:

- HTML (Free /Available to everyone)
- PDF / TXT (Available to V.I.P. members. Free Standard members can access up to 5 PDF/TXT eBooks per month each month)
- Epub & Mobipocket (Exclusive to V.I.P. members)

To download this full book, simply select the format you desire below

